

OPUS2

Scottish Covid-19 Inquiry

Day 61

November 14, 2024

Opus 2 - Official Court Reporters

Phone: 020 4518 8448

Email: transcripts@opus2.com

Website: <https://www.opus2.com>

1 Thursday, 14 November 2024
 2 MS VAN DER WESTHUIZEN: Good morning, my Lord.
 3 THE CHAIR: Good morning, Ms van der Westhuizen. Now, we
 4 have three witness this morning I see.
 5 MS VAN DER WESTHUIZEN: We do, my Lord. We have a panel of
 6 representatives of three Scottish universities. We have
 7 Dr David Duncan, who's the Deputy Vice—chancellor and
 8 Chief Operating Officer and University Secretary of the
 9 University of Glasgow. We have Professor Penny Macbeth,
 10 who's Director and Chief Operating Officer of the
 11 Glasgow School of Art and then we have Professor
 12 Leydecker, who's Senior Vice—principal of the University
 13 of Aberdeen.
 14 THE CHAIR: Ms van der Westhuizen has some questions for you
 15 so I'll ask her to fire ahead.
 16 DR DAVID DUNCAN (called)
 17 PROFESSOR PENNY MACBETH (called)
 18 PROFESSOR KARL LEYDECKER (called)
 19 Questions by Ms Van der Westhuizen
 20 MS VAN DER WESTHUIZEN: I think before we kick off with the
 21 panel session, I have introduced you, but if you could
 22 please give your full name and your role now and during
 23 the pandemic, please, and if we could start perhaps with
 24 Dr Duncan and work our way down.
 25 DR DAVID DUNCAN: I am David Duncan, I am Chief Operating

1

1 Officer and University Secretary at the University of
 2 Glasgow and that was the position I held during through
 3 the pandemic.
 4 PROFESSOR PENNY MACBETH: I'm Professor Penny Macbeth and
 5 I'm director and Chief Executive Officer of Glasgow
 6 School Of Art.
 7 THE CHAIR: Thank you. Professor Macbeth, when did you take
 8 up that role?
 9 PROFESSOR PENNY MACBETH: Sorry. I joined Glasgow School of
 10 Art in May 2020.
 11 PROFESSOR KARL LEYDECKER: I'm Karl Leydecker. I'm Senior
 12 Vice—principal at the University of Aberdeen and I was
 13 in that role throughout the pandemic, joining in
 14 March 2019.
 15 Q. Thank you. Then each of your universities have provided
 16 a response to a Rule 8 request. My Lord, I'll just read
 17 out the numbers so you have those. For the University
 18 of Glasgow the reference number is SCI UGLXXX0000001.
 19 The reference for the response from the Glasgow
 20 School Of Art is SCI GSAXXX000125 and then the response
 21 from the University of Aberdeen is in nine parts or
 22 certainly the parts that we've lodged for the purposes
 23 of this hearings are nine parts and they all start with
 24 SCI UABXXX and then the first one ends in 02, the second
 25 one in 03, the third one in 04, the fourth one in 10,

2

1 fifth one in 11, sixth one in 19, seventh one in 20, the
 2 eighth one in 40 and the ninth one in 41. So it's one
 3 response, but it's broken up.
 4 If I could ask you now each in turn, please, just to
 5 give an overview of your university in terms of size and
 6 number and location of campuses, a broad indication of
 7 the demographics of your student populations and just a
 8 broad outline of the types of courses you offer and,
 9 again, perhaps we can start with Dr Duncan and work our
 10 way down the line thank you.
 11 DR DAVID DUNCAN: Thank you very much. University of
 12 Glasgow has been there since 1451. It has a turnover of
 13 nearly £1 billion a year. We have just over 40,000
 14 students, with a balance of undergraduates and
 15 postgraduates, just slightly more undergraduates than
 16 postgraduates. Most of the students, maybe ninety per
 17 cent of them, are at the Gilmorehill Campus, the West
 18 End of Glasgow, and the vet school and some research
 19 activities are out at Garscube which is about three
 20 miles west of Gilmorehill and then we have a small
 21 campus with about 1 per cent of our students in Dumfries
 22 and then a number of students being taught
 23 collaboratively with other institutions in Singapore and
 24 on three campuses in the People's Republic of China, but
 25 the vast majority of activity is as Gilmorehill.

3

1 Q. Thank you. Professor Macbeth.
 2 PROFESSOR PENNY MACBETH: The Glasgow School Of Art was
 3 founded in 1945. It's a small specialist institution
 4 with world—class status and, at the time of the
 5 pandemic, it had 2,365 students, it's now risen to about
 6 2,765. We have around 35 per cent international
 7 students and the rest are 20 per cent rest of UK and the
 8 rest are Scottish—domiciled students.
 9 We have subjects that range from fine art practice
 10 through to digital innovation and design and
 11 architecture, so a really broad range of suites. We're
 12 intensive and are very workshop and studio intensive.
 13 We are situated in two campuses, one in Glasgow, and
 14 then a tiny campus in the Highlands of Scotland in
 15 Forres, which is a really very small percentage of our
 16 students. That's us.
 17 Q. Thank you very much. Did you give an indication of what
 18 percentage are foreign students at Glasgow?
 19 DR DAVID DUNCAN: I didn't. I think it's probably around
 20 about 30 per cent currently and I should have said, of
 21 course, we teach pretty much the broad range of
 22 disciplines across the academic endeavour.
 23 Q. Thank you and you specifically mentioned veterinary
 24 medicine as well.
 25 Professor Leydecker.

4

1 PROFESSOR KARL LEYDECKER: So the University of Aberdeen
 2 founded in 1495, a little bit later. We have about just
 3 over 20,000 students, about 15,000 of those are in
 4 Aberdeen. We have a very large number online, one of
 5 the larger online providers in Scotland, we have about
 6 5,000 students online, and about one thousand students
 7 overseas, either in Qatar or in China in partnership
 8 with other universities .
 9 About a quarter or more than a quarter of our
 10 students are from overseas. I would say around 70 per
 11 cent are undergraduate, the rest are taught
 12 postgraduates, that's one-year masters programmes, but
 13 we also have a significant cohort of PhD students so
 14 research masters — research students.
 15 In terms of subject mix, we have — in terms of
 16 campuses, we have two main teaching campuses. We have
 17 the old Aberdeen campus and then we have the
 18 Foresterhill campus, which is joint with NHS Grampian,
 19 where we have our medicine, dentistry, health sciences
 20 nutrition, bio-medical sciences. We also have a student
 21 residence, which is separate from the two campuses, at
 22 Hillhead and I think that —
 23 In terms of subject mix, we would have the broad mix
 24 of degrees that you might expect from the health
 25 sciences, medicine, through natural sciences and

5

1 computing sciences, through then social sciences,
 2 business and humanities as well, but we don't have an
 3 art school, so fewer of those kinds of creative
 4 subjects.
 5 Q. Thank you very much.
 6 The intention today is really to hear about some of
 7 the key issues and impacts that were experienced by your
 8 students, staff and the universities themselves under a
 9 number of broad themes. So time permitting, I propose
 10 that we discuss issues and impacts relating to, firstly,
 11 initial closure of universities; secondly, remote and
 12 online learning and the issues related to the move to
 13 that and the delivery of that; thirdly, subject-specific
 14 impacts; fourthly, specifically impacts on research and
 15 elaborate-based work; fifthly, assessments, attainments
 16 and classifications and new admissions and issues in
 17 relation to that.
 18 Next, mental health wellbeing and social impacts,
 19 then issues specifically around the reopening of
 20 university campuses and return to face-to-face learning
 21 and then the repeated opening and closures and issues
 22 particularly in relation to guidance as well, if there
 23 were any particular issues you experienced with that and
 24 then impacts on universities and staff.
 25 And then also I would like to give you the

6

1 opportunity to make suggestions for what the
 2 Scottish Government — in relation to the potential
 3 lessons learned what the Scottish Government could
 4 reasonably do to mitigate ongoing impacts of the
 5 strategic response or could do differently to improve
 6 matters in the future in the event of another pandemic.
 7 The Inquiry is also particularly interested in
 8 disproportionate impacts on particular cohorts of
 9 students. We have obviously mentioned international
 10 students specifically, but obviously there may be other
 11 cohorts of students that were particularly impacted at
 12 your institutions. I haven't included a stand-alone
 13 theme for that, but that is something that is relevant
 14 to a number of themes that we'll be discussing. So if
 15 you're able to just remember if you do have any
 16 particular concerns or particular cohorts of students to
 17 raise that under the relevant subject, but if there is
 18 time and, if necessary, we can always have a discussion
 19 of that at the end as well.
 20 So if we could perhaps turn first to issues in
 21 relation to the closure of universities, including, for
 22 example, the decisions to close and obviously university
 23 campuses couldn't close completely so I say "close" in
 24 inverted commas, any prior consultations with and/or
 25 relevant guidance received from the Scottish Government

7

1 and any issues with that, immediate practical challenges
 2 that were faced by universities and university heads,
 3 immediate impacts on students — we'll obviously go on
 4 to discuss specific impacts in relation to subjects and
 5 mental health etc, but any immediate impacts that were
 6 experienced by students — any disproportionate impacts
 7 on particular cohorts of students and any other relevant
 8 key issues.
 9 So perhaps, again, if we could start with Dr Duncan
 10 followed by Professor Leydecker and then because
 11 Professor Macbeth you obviously started a little bit
 12 later into the process, pick up with you at the end just
 13 what you know about having had discussions with your
 14 colleagues.
 15 So Dr Duncan, kick off with you.
 16 DR DAVID DUNCAN: Okay, well thank you.
 17 It's fair to say it was a bit of a shock really when
 18 we realised we were going to have to severely curtail
 19 activities on the campuses. But as you rightly point
 20 out, you can't close a big university. There are too
 21 many activities going on, particularly we had 3,500
 22 students living in residences. They couldn't be sent
 23 home after the onset of the pandemic. There were quite
 24 a lot — a few research activities' bits of equipment,
 25 including animal research, that had to be looked after

8

1 and continued. Quite early on I think from government
2 there was a commitment to continue COVID-related
3 research so we had to facilitate that, particularly
4 through our centre for viral research, for example. And
5 then just maintenance of equipment and buildings. So we
6 still had quite a lot of people coming in to campus from
7 very early on.

8 The first challenge was really to — was a
9 communications challenge, was to give advice to staff
10 and students about how things would operate and what
11 people should do. And it's probably fair to say there
12 wasn't — in the early days there wasn't a lot of
13 information coming out of government or from public
14 health authorities, either at the UK level or in
15 Scotland, to tell us what to do. We were keeping in
16 very close contact with other higher education
17 institutions, making sure that our communications were
18 consonant with theirs, and trying to give clear advice
19 to staff and students about what to do.

20 A lot of people were very worried, as you can
21 probably remember, at the time about health issues.
22 People were worried they were going to catch COVID and
23 die. So that first care was to do with people's health
24 and advising them on how best to look after it.

25 And I think — in retrospect, I think the transition

9

1 from full-on activities on campuses to something much
2 more curtailed was done remarkably quickly and with
3 little fuss. People followed the rules, they obeyed the
4 rules, they had a thirst for information and
5 communications, which we probably didn't satisfy totally
6 adequately in the early days, but people were very
7 receptive to the advice they were given and responsive.

8 And then I guess we'll come on to talk about the
9 educational aspects of that in a minute, but —

10 Q. Absolutely. And just you said people were very
11 responsive, are you referring to students, staff or
12 both?

13 DR DAVID DUNCAN: Both I would say, yes.

14 Q. Okay, Professor Leydecker.

15 PROFESSOR KARL LEYDECKER: So we suspended on face-to-face
16 teaching on Friday, 13 March 2020, and we accelerated
17 our plans for all staff or for homeworking for those
18 staff able to work from home on Monday, 16 March. We
19 were definitely taking our own decisions at that point
20 and we were fortunate. Because we were able to draw on
21 the expertise in our medical school in public health, we
22 were able to see some of the data that was emerging
23 internationally about where infection rates were across
24 the world and we could see, you know, Italy minus ten
25 days and we could then see what was likely to happen and

10

1 it was very clear to us very early on what was going on
2 to happen.

3 So I think we reacted very early and moved very
4 quickly. That allowed us to adapt quickly, but we had
5 to make some really quite bold decisions. So we simply
6 said to staff take everything you need. You can take
7 desks, you can take computers and so on, but we had —
8 So we moved very quickly. It was fair to say that our
9 digital infrastructure was not in the shape we were
10 thinking. I remember we decided to turn on Teams on
11 that weekend. So we didn't even have things we now
12 expect. It's worth remembering that. So we moved very
13 quickly to put things in place. We had a very, very
14 good group that coordinated throughout the pandemic and
15 brought everybody into the tent that allowed us to
16 mobilise people very, very quickly and I think that that
17 stood us in good stead in terms of that rapid response.

18 But as my colleague has said, we were unable to
19 close and we had to develop all kinds of guidance around
20 who could come on to campus, under what circumstances
21 and so forth and that worked very effectively.

22 Q. And you say you had to develop guidance, what sort of
23 guidance were you receiving from the Scottish Government
24 at that stage?

25 PROFESSOR KARL LEYDECKER: I think that came about a week to

11

1 ten days later when we started to get — guidance
2 developed more and more over the period so we started to
3 get full written guidance published on the
4 Scottish Government website that would set out how we
5 should operate in what circumstances. But very early on
6 we were — obviously, the universities were talking to
7 each other as well, but we were having to make quite
8 bold decisions at pace to the best of our abilities
9 really.

10 Q. And just to what extent, because you obviously
11 mentioned — Dr Duncan mentioned that you can't close
12 because you have laboratories, you have students on
13 campus, et cetera. To what extent was that understood
14 by the Scottish Government at the time in the initial
15 stages?

16 PROFESSOR KARL LEYDECKER: I don't think it was. I think
17 there was mention of we close the universities and
18 I think the sector had to indicate that that was simply
19 not a realistic understanding of what universities
20 comprise of in relation to, for example, we were
21 supporting the health response for example from our —
22 both our staff and some of our students who were
23 studying in the medical areas. We obviously had the
24 residences we couldn't close, as has already been
25 mentioned.

12

1 Getting the IT facilities in place was very
2 important in order to address the digital support, first
3 of all, for your staff, but also the digital poverty of
4 students. We had to have people on campus to keep the
5 servers going, to package up the boxes and email and
6 send out the packages of IT equipment. You know, we had
7 to look after the wellbeing of students in residences.

8 There were multiple research projects that you
9 simply cannot abandon in the middle or you will lose
10 very considerable amounts of work. You have research
11 equipment that you can't just leave it or turn it off
12 even over winter breaks and so on. So we have that sort
13 of experience of keeping those things going.

14 But there was a lot of education to do that we were
15 very complex organisations and would need a really
16 differentiated approach. It wasn't closed or open. It
17 was always going to be a complex mixture of what could
18 be done on campus only and what could safely be done
19 there and what could be done — what could and therefore
20 should be done off campus, with a presumption we would
21 move everything off where we could, but a lot of things
22 could not be moved.

23 Q. Thank you very much. And Dr Duncan, I should have asked
24 you as well, from your perspective in terms of the
25 understanding of the extent to which universities can

13

1 close on the part of the Scottish Government, did you
2 have anything to add to what Professor Leydecker said
3 there?

4 DR DAVID DUNCAN: It was a phrase people used, not just
5 politicians, but the press would talk about the
6 universities closed and we would constantly have to say
7 it's not closed. I think quite quickly the officials
8 that we were working with, because there was a very
9 close liaison with SG officials right throughout the
10 pandemic, they very quickly understood that it wasn't
11 closed, but the phrase kept coming up again and again
12 and it did sort of imply perhaps a lack of understanding
13 of the complexity of the operations on campuses.

14 Q. Thank you. Professor Macbeth, have you got — if you
15 could come in, please?

16 PROFESSOR PENNY MACBETH: Okay. So we advised students and
17 then staff on 16 and 17 March, respectively, that we
18 felt we were going to need to move to closure. That
19 allowed us a small amount of time to allow students and
20 staff to gather their materials, their equipment, but
21 particularly students to gather safely their work which
22 would be portfolio based, so some sort of their objects,
23 some sort of their portfolio, so that they could
24 continue working at home and also some of the materials
25 that they would need. And that allowed us to support

14

1 them in doing so. And then as Dr Leydecker has laid
2 out, we also then supported staff in terms of taking
3 their own kit and equipment so that they could work from
4 home.

5 We then moved to what was a significant up—skilling
6 in terms of our digital infrastructure and purchase of
7 kit and equipment to support not only our staff, but
8 also our students specifically and a comprehensive piece
9 of work was undertaken, not only just actually choosing
10 the digital platform that would be best for an art
11 school to use. So contrary to a lot of other
12 institutions, we worked with Zoom, because it allowed us
13 as an art school to work in a much more collaborative
14 way than Teams would have allowed us at the time.
15 I think all of those technologies have obviously moved
16 at pace since then.

17 We had obviously students in our halls of
18 residences, we had our IT team, a very core small IT
19 team, on campus and then we had, obviously, security,
20 janitorial and some domestic staff just keeping our
21 hygiene factors going, but a very small team on campus,
22 but moving very much to look to support student health
23 and wellbeing which was obviously a huge priority to
24 support those in halls of residence in terms of the
25 students there, but also international students that

15

1 were there that were unable to go home and to try and
2 provide as much wraparound in terms of health and
3 wellbeing in those settings for those individuals.

4 So it was very much that launching of a digital
5 learning environment, assessment environment, and then
6 supporting everyone in their spaces and places and teams
7 coming together in unique ways initially to —
8 cross—institutional teams to support that effort and
9 that was then mirrored, I think. Certainly I was
10 impressed when I arrived into Glasgow School Of Art
11 around the support provided by the Universities Scotland
12 and the liaison with Scottish Government and how that
13 was happening, which I think was really supportive and
14 attempted to acknowledge our difference as different
15 institutions within the HE sector.

16 Q. Thank you very much. If we could then move to discuss
17 the move to and the implementation of and experience of
18 a remote or online learning and, obviously, online
19 learning is something quite specific.

20 I think Professor Leydecker you have mentioned that
21 you have that sort of offering anyway, but, yes,
22 remote/online learning and the experiences with those,
23 including, for example, the levels of preparedness of
24 the institutions, the practical challenges in moving to
25 remote and online learning, specifically digital

16

1 inclusion or digital poverty of both staff and students,
 2 if those were issues, impacts on staff and students in
 3 having to engage in this manner, student engagement, if
 4 there were any issues around that, any disproportionate
 5 impacts on particular cohorts of students, any issues
 6 with perceptions of online or remote learning being
 7 somehow inferior to face-to-face. If you want to
 8 mention any positive legacy impacts in relation to
 9 online learning and any other relevant key issues.

10 So perhaps start this round with Professor Leydecker
 11 followed by Dr Duncan and then Professor Macbeth.

12 PROFESSOR KARL LEYDECKER: So it was obviously a huge
 13 challenge to move so quickly and so unexpectedly,
 14 I guess, to online learning. There was a lot to learn
 15 and we had to do a lot of up-skilling of our staff. So
 16 we had a unit that led that and we provided a lot of
 17 training and guidance.

18 We had that experience of online learning already
 19 that was helpful for us and I think we built on that,
 20 but we made some mistakes earlier on, I think, as a
 21 university or probably as a sector in terms of probably
 22 putting too much material online and not paying
 23 sufficient attention to creating the right social
 24 learning opportunities online.

25 So we did a very significant piece of work a year

17

1 later to evaluate our approach to online and blended
 2 learning. We started that quite early in terms of
 3 having some surveys of our staff and students and some
 4 focus groups so that we continually refined this
 5 throughout. So no doubt it was a bit clunky in the
 6 early days and there was a lot of work to do, first of
 7 all, to ensure staff and students had the right kind of
 8 equipment to be able to operate in this way.

9 There were no doubt some disadvantages to certain
 10 groups at that time. There was digital poverty. The
 11 funding from the Scottish Funding Council was very
 12 helpful in supporting the issuing of equipment to
 13 students who needed it. So I think, nevertheless, there
 14 were some — definitely some groups of students,
 15 probably the more disadvantaged anyway economically,
 16 socially, who probably struggled with the adaptation
 17 more, because of perhaps not having a quiet space or
 18 their own personal space to study from, not having
 19 wi-fi, broadband at home and so forth.

20 So I think it was a huge learning curve, but one
 21 that certainly we and I think the whole sector embraced
 22 very quickly. I think one of the things is that we were
 23 all thrust into a particular thing at once and I think
 24 people really responded positively to that challenge.
 25 And we found in our evaluation that on the whole

18

1 students were reasonably satisfied with the blended
 2 learning they had received or the online learning they
 3 had received in the very early days, but there was a
 4 desire for more social contact and, therefore, the
 5 return to more in-person learning, when that was
 6 possible.

7 So I think that's what how I would sum up the —
 8 that move. There's a lot you asked and we may want to
 9 unpack more of that, but that's an initial response.

10 Q. Just in terms of the perceptions perhaps of remote to
 11 online learning, you obviously said there was a desire
 12 to have more social interaction and a desire to get back
 13 when possible, what was the perception of the quality of
 14 online learning versus face to face?

15 PROFESSOR KARL LEYDECKER: So from our surveys of students,
 16 I think the perception was that on the whole it was very
 17 strong. There were things that we needed to change in
 18 the course of things. So there was a lot of discussion
 19 about whether asynchronous, where you record something
 20 and make it available, or synchronous, where you hold
 21 live teaching sessions, were more appropriate. That has
 22 advantages and advantages for different groups. I think
 23 we realised that a balance was really helpful because
 24 that created the opportunity, particularly when you had
 25 synchronous where you could have all of the class there

19

1 and they could start to get to know each other and could
 2 start to benefit from that social learning, learning as
 3 a group.

4 So I think it was a question of using the experience
 5 that we had within the organisation to put in a base
 6 level and then to continually enhance that as technology
 7 improved, as people's familiarity with that improved.
 8 The ability to create, for example, breakout groups
 9 within larger group was something that was not a —
 10 people just didn't know how to do that initially, but
 11 gradually people acquired the skills to allow them to
 12 create a much more — a much richer learning environment
 13 and that's one of the lasting legacies, I think,
 14 relatively positive, that we have moved to be able to be
 15 more experimental and more effective in that kind of
 16 blended learning and we have retained some elements of
 17 that.

18 I do think that there was not a presumption in the
 19 public sphere in Scotland, in the media or anywhere
 20 else, that blended or online was somehow inferior to
 21 face-to-face and I think that was a helpful general
 22 sense within Scotland which wasn't always replicated in
 23 the rest of the UK that it was perfectly feasible and
 24 possible to offer a really good quality level of
 25 education. Not for all disciplines and not in all

20

1 circumstances, but for the broad group of students,
2 that's certainly possible.

3 Q. Thank you very much. Return then to Dr Duncan, please?

4 DR DAVID DUNCAN: Yes, I think Professor Leydecker has
5 covered it very well. I think universities are not
6 always the most agile institutions in the world, but
7 I think we surprised ourselves with our own agility at
8 pivoting to a different mode of learning and teaching
9 very quickly.

10 You know, few people might have had experience using
11 tools like Zoom before, but all of a sudden everybody
12 was using it and learning on the job how to use it
13 effectively. It was probably helped by the fact that
14 people didn't have a lot of other things to do, students
15 were bored because they were trapped in their own homes,
16 only able to go out for one walk a day, whatever, so
17 there was almost a willingness to engage. Those
18 students who perhaps in the past were unwilling to turn
19 up for 9 o'clock on a Monday morning were actually
20 turning up online for classes.

21 Again, as Professor Leydecker has said, that mix of
22 synchronous and asynchronous learning evolved very
23 quickly in most disciplines. It was possible to engage
24 in personal contact between teachers and tutors and
25 students and to give feedback in the way — informative

21

1 feedback in the way that students expect and need. And
2 I think for some students in any way, perversely, it was
3 almost beneficial. Shyer students perhaps unwilling to
4 talk in classes of 10 or 20 or even larger, perhaps more
5 willing to engage online in front of a screen that they
6 might not in the classroom. But there were a lot of
7 issues and challenges along the way.

8 It required a huge effort on the part of teachers,
9 lecturers to adapt courses to the different mode and
10 quite quickly we got reports of exhaustion and fatigue
11 amongst the teaching staff and then of course there was
12 a curtailment of practical work, which covers a whole
13 swathe of disciplines — I'm sure you'll hear more about
14 that in a second — and then some of those basic
15 problems that we are all familiar with. Harder for
16 people who are living in inadequate accommodation,
17 perhaps with family members of different ages, problems
18 with wi-fi, although they tended to be sorted quite
19 quickly, and then issues with access to laptops and
20 equipment. But, again, that was resolved quite quickly
21 and with government support as well.

22 So kind of a mixed picture, but people encountered
23 problems they never even thought about before and they
24 found solutions to them.

25 Q. And just in relation to digital inclusion, what sort of

22

1 percentages of students were impacted by that, that that
2 had to be addressed?

3 DR DAVID DUNCAN: I'm not sure what the percentage figure
4 would be, but the truth is the large majority of
5 students already had access to laptops. So the numbers
6 that needed to be given equipment I think was quite
7 small, but we set up systems to do that, to address that
8 need pretty quickly and were able to address it.

9 Q. Okay, thank you.

10 Professor Macbeth.

11 PROFESSOR PENNY MACBETH: Yes. So very similar to
12 colleagues, but with obviously particular nuances. So
13 we had a very low level of digital infrastructure when
14 the pandemic hit and, in a sense, we had to make
15 quite — what we felt was quite a strategic decision to
16 invest significantly. We obviously had support from
17 Scottish Funding Council with this, but we needed to
18 access really bespoke high-end pieces of kit and
19 equipment, laptops, desktops for particular disciplinary
20 areas. So we realised potentially that there would be a
21 significant problem in accessing those if we were to
22 bide our time and wait to see if the pandemic might
23 subside quickly. So we made the decision to move quite
24 boldly towards the purchase of equipment just so that we
25 could access it and, obviously, we made a decision to

23

1 invest as an institution, but also then we had the
2 funding from Scottish Funding Council, which was also
3 hugely welcome.

4 So we did that and then we had to make a whole raft
5 of software that's very bespoke to art and design
6 practice available to students. We also needed to think
7 certain disciplines need certain kinds of capacity of
8 software and equipment and, therefore, for certain
9 disciplines, we needed to quite quickly triage those
10 sorts of kit and equipment and that very particular
11 software that might need to be used in a certain way.
12 So all of that was provided and in fact a legislation of
13 the digital kind of up-skilling was our ability to
14 provide that software to alumni in the first year during
15 the pandemic era. So I think that was a really strong
16 move towards that.

17 In terms of our champions within our academy and our
18 technical support team, we did have some. So we had our
19 digital natives that were very strong on developing
20 learning in that space and we also had experience of
21 doing joint projects with international partners. So we
22 were able to draw on our expertise within the
23 institution. So we had to draw them out and ask them to
24 lead us in a way that they might not have done before
25 and actually that was a really strong way of bringing

24

1 team cohesion together as well and sharing best
2 practice, but of course that didn't all happen at once.
3 You know that takes a little while to evolve.

4 We were very conscious of digital poverty and
5 digital inclusion and a lot of that was not to do with
6 providing the technical facilities, because we were
7 providing those to students, it was the infrastructure
8 within homes or within residences or just the bandwidth
9 if you have got a lot of students using a lot of really
10 high-end software that takes up a lot of capacity. So
11 we had to do lots of workarounds with that as well. And
12 our IT team were really fantastic in terms of how they
13 just really imagined and enabled us to do that.

14 In terms of classes, as Professor Leydecker said, we
15 started to use the digital facilities like Zoom and our
16 ways of connecting with each other in really
17 experimental ways. So in art school you'll sometimes
18 have group tutorials, group crits, you might have
19 several members of staff in with a cohort of students
20 and, quite quickly, we were using the Zoom space to have
21 breakout rooms, to have teams and then even to the point
22 of actually having online exhibitions or online
23 critiques, which is where students would come in and put
24 up their work. So all of that began to happen.

25 Again, trying to think through asynchronous and

25

1 synchronous learning. So some things were really
2 important to do together, so you might have a workshop
3 that would be led together and you would have the
4 materials in your home, because that is part of that
5 community of making and designing. But then other
6 things that were more skills-based need to be filmed and
7 provided so students could go back and relook at those.
8 So a lot of that sort of content really emerged during
9 lockdown and kind of was being populated by all the
10 schools, but also online resources as well actually just
11 to kind of give people things to do in actual fact.

12 I think we worked very hard to work with our
13 widening access students that we felt would be the most
14 disadvantaged and we had — once we were able to create
15 a hub within the art school, which was our hub building
16 where students could book in to get high-end cameras or
17 pieces of equipment that would then work within their
18 digital environment. And we had a click and collect
19 system, which we've actually kept as well. And we also,
20 obviously, had library access with that as well. So we
21 were able to do that. As soon as we were able to do
22 that, we provided a hub building, because some students
23 were not in a position to necessarily create the sort of
24 work they wanted to within the spaces that they were
25 occupying at the time and therefore we needed to provide

26

1 those in one building that we could keep, you know, very
2 strictly observing all the COVID requirements once we
3 were able to do that.

4 So, finally, sorry, just to say, I think the other
5 thing I think that we all learnt through this was the
6 pace of learning online would need to be potentially
7 different to in person. That need to really highlight
8 the need for breaks, space to downtime and the pace and
9 intensity of learning, it was quite important to manage
10 that for everybody's health and wellbeing actually.

11 Q. Thank you. Before we move on, just one quick question,
12 Professor Macbeth, about you mentioned delivering
13 digital resources to your students which were quite
14 specialist. Were there any issues in relation to —
15 I think you said it took a while to roll this out. Were
16 there any issues in relation to accessing that in terms
17 of supply chains or other issues?

18 PROFESSOR PENNY MACBETH: No, I think that was because we
19 moved very quickly in terms of realising we would need
20 to invest and actually for us as an institution it was
21 an investment in our future so we could see that beyond
22 the pandemic that was the right thing to do actually.

23 Q. Okay, thank you.

24 The next theme we have is subject-specific impacts,
25 including if we could have a discussion, for example,

27

1 impacts on practical and vocational subjects, impact on
2 subjects requiring close contact with others, impacts on
3 placements and other relevant key issues.

4 And I think perhaps we could start with you,
5 Professor Macbeth, because obviously you have quite a
6 practical element to what you deliver. And I don't know
7 if you — you obviously have similar issues to the Royal
8 Conservatoire and if you are able to — I understand you
9 do have discussions with them, if you're able to draw on
10 any of those experiences as well.

11 PROFESSOR PENNY MACBETH: Yes. So I'll start there, if that
12 is okay.

13 The Royal Conservatoire and ourselves had very
14 similar issues during the pandemic, as did art schools
15 in the rest of the UK, and conservatoires also. So for
16 conservatoires obviously there were a whole range of
17 issues for them, particularly for dance students,
18 particularly their ballet dancers, where obviously they
19 need access to sprung floors, they need space to move in
20 and, in a sense, they not unlike a performance athlete
21 in their requirement and also the potential for them to
22 be injured. So that was of considerable concern and
23 certainly quite significant lobbying in terms of
24 government understanding that that there were specific
25 needs that were absolutely critical within that setting.

28

1 And I think once we got into that level of
 2 conversations beyond what are we doing with our
 3 residences, how are we managing the sort of day—to-day,
 4 very open to the fact that we had very particularly
 5 different circumstances within art school and
 6 conservatoires. So there was an issue there, but
 7 obviously for students who played instruments,
 8 particularly wind instruments, in terms of the breathing
 9 and the need for screening around those students and the
 10 social distancing that needed to go on. So all of that
 11 was worked through.

12 In terms of art school, obviously you have students
 13 who might be welding sculptures or hoping to weld a
 14 sculpture for their final show and suddenly having to
 15 rethink that completely, because they didn't have access
 16 to any of those facilities, for instance, and therefore
 17 would have to start to think about how they might create
 18 that in a digital realm, rather than a physical realm.

19 Some students we would encourage to perhaps flex, so
 20 to think about materials in a different way. So our
 21 silversmithing and jewellery students who might be more
 22 used to using precious metals would potentially be using
 23 kelp, so actually harvesting their local environment,
 24 but actually finishing and producing work of an
 25 exemplary level. And we actually have some of those

29

1 items in our archives and collections now from that era
 2 where people thought about their materials very
 3 differently. So really trying to support students to
 4 produce in new and novel ways in relation to their
 5 circumstances for our school I would say. For
 6 conservatoire, really to continue to be able to practise
 7 and rehearse in new ways or ways that were enabling us
 8 to stay safe really.

9 Quite challenging, but we have networks across the
 10 rest of the UK with our partner institutions there and
 11 certainly we were very much working, myself and the
 12 conservatoire, into those networks and sharing best
 13 practice and sharing core issues that were happening.
 14 So we were supported, we supported each other, but we
 15 were supported by our peers as well and I think — I
 16 suppose the only issue with that, and we'll come on to
 17 that I know later on, was that because of the tier
 18 system in Scotland and actually in the rest of the UK,
 19 we were often, particularly in Glasgow, where we're both
 20 based, in a different tier to our other art schools. So
 21 there was a real concern once we came out of the
 22 lockdown into the tier system as to why we were
 23 operating in a slightly different way to perhaps some of
 24 the other art schools in Scotland and of course that was
 25 to do with the tier system.

30

1 Q. Yes. Thank you very much. Perhaps we can turn to you
 2 next, Dr Duncan, and then Professor Leydecker.

3 DR DAVID DUNCAN: A similar story, but across I suppose a
 4 wider range of disciplines and quite a significant
 5 impact on the learning experience and the opportunities
 6 for students across those disciplines.

7 So obviously, you know, for PHD students the
 8 inability to carry on a lot of research for
 9 dissertations, but for other students, the curtailment
 10 of lab work or rather the switch of laboratory work to
 11 virtual labs and the development of that whole concept
 12 moving on quite quickly. Field trips obviously stopped
 13 for teachers, social workers. Lack of classroom
 14 interaction and placements for all students. A lack of
 15 opportunity to undertake internships and work
 16 experience, although there again you had the development
 17 of virtual internships coming along quite quickly. And
 18 a very different experience I suppose for medical
 19 students, who were still quite often in hospitals and
 20 for vet students as well, because obviously work with
 21 animals and human patients continued, but limited access
 22 for the student population to take part in that.

23 So a whole range of different impacts. I think the
 24 worst affected cohort were the dentists. Obviously,
 25 dentists soon after they have done their clinical dental

31

1 techniques exam they have to be in close proximity to
 2 patients and that work was very severely curtailed and
 3 so we lost a cohort of dentists across the whole of
 4 Scotland and I think that was probably the only
 5 discipline where that happened where you basically lost
 6 a year of students and for obvious reasons I suppose,
 7 but quite a severe impact for them.

8 In other areas though I think people did their best
 9 to find proxies, to find alternatives to face-to-face
 10 activities where they could, but it's probably fair to
 11 say across a wide range of subjects it was a slightly
 12 diminished or curtailed experience.

13 Q. Just in relation to the dentistry students, you said you
 14 lost a year of students, did they repeat, did they
 15 defer, what?

16 DR DAVID DUNCAN: Yes. I think all students had to
 17 basically delay a year in dentistry.

18 Q. So they weren't lost altogether, they just —

19 DR DAVID DUNCAN: They graduated. We just lost one year's
 20 cohort. So there was one intake that didn't happen, if
 21 you like.

22 Q. Thank you very much. Professor Leydecker.

23 PROFESSOR KARL LEYDECKER: Yes, not a huge amount to add to
 24 what Dr Duncan has said, but perhaps focus on the health
 25 disciplines in particular. I know we have talked about

32

1 the specifics of dentistry, but I think it was very
 2 challenging for those medical students as well for a
 3 number of reasons. First of all, they were not able to
 4 have as wide a range of practical placements in
 5 different medical settings as they would normally have
 6 done, for example, out in GP practices and they were
 7 often volunteering to work on the frontline. That was
 8 quite challenging for them at the time. And they
 9 probably didn't have the range of opportunities in
 10 different clinical settings. And we also need to
 11 remember that many of the clinical — much of the
 12 teaching is done by clinical academics who were on the
 13 frontline of coping with the health emergency and so I
 14 think that that did definitely have an impact on that
 15 group of students and they often felt that they were
 16 actually at the frontline. Many volunteered to work and
 17 that was exceptionally positive, but they probably had
 18 more experience in some areas than they were
 19 anticipating and less in others, if that makes sense.
 20 Fieldwork was a major thing that we spent a lot of
 21 time thinking about. Not just virtual fieldwork, but we
 22 also arranged fieldwork that was much closer to home
 23 where students could gather outside and perhaps do a
 24 little bit of observation locally where they wouldn't be
 25 able to go and look at rocks up in the Highlands or

33

1 environments in different parts of Scotland, say, in the
 2 biological and geological sciences. But we did our best
 3 to provide those opportunities and I think a huge amount
 4 of excellent work was done around practicals for
 5 sciences, for example. For example, you could film the
 6 experiment and then pass the data to the students so it
 7 was as though they had done that, but they had the
 8 results that they could then work on interpreting.
 9 I think that was really, really good and that allowed
 10 I think a greater inclusion than might have otherwise
 11 been possible, because of the work that was done there
 12 so and I think some of those things are of lasting
 13 benefit to certain students who might struggle with
 14 certain aspects of practical work or the accessibility
 15 of that.
 16 Q. Are there any other particular groups of students you
 17 had in mind in relation to that that might have
 18 benefitted?
 19 PROFESSOR KARL LEYDECKER: So some neurodiverse,
 20 neurodivergent students perhaps struggle with group
 21 settings and perhaps shy away from those, find it
 22 difficult to be there for certain practical experiments
 23 and so forth, but they were able then to participate in
 24 a different way.
 25 That's just one example. There are multiple — one

34

1 realised that the complexities of individual student
 2 circumstances were legion actually and it wasn't simply
 3 about people with mobility difficulties, it was a whole
 4 range of different disabilities, disadvantages, that we
 5 learnt to cater for and actually some, you know, felt
 6 that there had been really positive benefits to this
 7 process. A greater availability of digital resources
 8 that you could access in your own time suited certain
 9 people perhaps with caring responsibilities and so
 10 forth.

11 Q. Thank you. We've possibly touched on this already, but
 12 the next topic I had was impacts on research and
 13 laboratory-based work specifically, including, for
 14 example, impacts on studies involving human
 15 participants, distancing requirement, the extent to
 16 which those were necessary or unnecessary, and any other
 17 relevant key issues in relation to research and
 18 laboratory-based work specifically.

19 Perhaps we could start with you, Professor
 20 Leydecker, and then go to Dr Duncan.

21 PROFESSOR KARL LEYDECKER: So one of the real challenges for
 22 the university sector throughout the period that we're
 23 discussing was the requirements for physical distancing
 24 in laboratory settings. There was a requirement for
 25 two-metre distancing in laboratory settings, which

35

1 severely reduced the capacity of our research
 2 laboratories, something down to something like 25 to 30
 3 per cent at two-metre distancing. The sector lobbied
 4 very strongly on this with Scottish Government,
 5 including Universities Scotland publicly lobbying on
 6 this in 2021. The perception in the sector was that the
 7 restrictions were over-restrictive for laboratory
 8 settings in university specifically.

9 There were opportunities for less distancing in
 10 laboratories in the private sector and indeed elsewhere
 11 in the UK and I think that had a very significant impact
 12 on our ability to operate those laboratories and to do
 13 as much science as we would otherwise have done. And
 14 despite this being something that we cared passionately
 15 about and wanted to see change, that did not change
 16 right through, I think, to March 22 when there was a
 17 broad lifting of restrictions. So I think that was
 18 probably one of the key impacts on research.

19 Clearly you mentioned research with human
 20 participants, we have a clinical trials unit, a number
 21 of clinical trials had to be abandoned, because we
 22 either couldn't recruit patients, for example, or they
 23 had to be abandoned halfway through because it was
 24 impossible to continue to conduct those things with
 25 human participants. Psychology was another discipline

36

1 that has a lot of — certainly in our science-based
 2 psychology, a lot of studies were not possible and that
 3 was something we lobbied quite hard on. It was
 4 something we tried to move forward. So there were a
 5 range of areas that were severely impacted.
 6 At the same time, it has to be said that of course
 7 the whole sector made a massive contribution to the
 8 research effort through the pandemic. We received —
 9 there was specific funding streams for medical research
 10 for COVID research and we were recipients of that and we
 11 were very pleased, as the whole sector was, to play our
 12 part in trying to find solutions and of course the
 13 famous cases of that throughout Britain and throughout
 14 the world in terms of that.
 15 So but I think that laboratory settings was probably
 16 the absolutely critical difficulty we faced and the
 17 difficulty was it was seemed inexplicable, because those
 18 spaces are very highly regulated, they have
 19 exceptionally strong health and safety protocols, very
 20 good ventilation and so forth and there was an
 21 opportunity, I think, to trust the professionals in the
 22 sector to operate those facilities in a way that that
 23 would have been more productive for research in
 24 Scotland.
 25 Q. Thank you. Was there any other particular reason given

37

1 for maintaining a two-metre distance requirement in
 2 university laboratories versus a lesser requirement in
 3 other laboratories?
 4 PROFESSOR KARL LEYDECKER: I was not personally involved in
 5 those discussions. I don't know you may have been. I'm
 6 not aware of what the particular reasons given were.
 7 DR DAVID DUNCAN: It may be that the government was more
 8 receptive to approaches by commercial companies that
 9 were concerned they would go out of business if they
 10 couldn't continue to operate, rather than on research,
 11 you know, which is noncommercial in nature. But I guess
 12 that's a question for the government to answer.
 13 PROFESSOR KARL LEYDECKER: If I could add on this, there's
 14 perhaps a perception that because you could do
 15 something, that was the appropriate decision. So it
 16 was — because we could operate remotely in terms of
 17 education delivery, therefore we should continue to do
 18 that and of course in Scotland we did that much longer
 19 than was the case in the rest of the UK and in other
 20 places. So I think that's something that, reflecting on
 21 this, that I feel is one of the things that was perhaps
 22 a default position.
 23 Q. Before I turn to Dr Duncan on this, obviously you talk
 24 about the restrictions, the two metre restrictions and
 25 difficulties generally with conducting research, we're

38

1 going to go on to talk about later impacts on staff and
 2 universities, but for researchers who are reliant on
 3 progressing their research in terms of career
 4 progression, were there any other particular impacts on
 5 them and on any particular cohorts of researchers at
 6 your institution?
 7 PROFESSOR KARL LEYDECKER: So I think PHD students were a
 8 particular group that were adversely impacted. They
 9 sort of sit between students and staff in a funny kind
 10 of way in that research environment, but they have a
 11 time-limited amount of funding in order to conduct their
 12 research and produce their dissertation and for many it
 13 was simply — they had to reconceive their research,
 14 because they were unable to do the practical work, the
 15 fieldwork or the scientific experimentation and so forth
 16 or the work with human participants.
 17 So the sector working with the funding councils and
 18 others and our own funds worked really hard to try to
 19 prioritise those who were nearest the end of their
 20 studies who needed extensions and there was a lot of
 21 discussion around that and negotiation and some funding
 22 was provided, but in some circumstances I think funding
 23 was not provided and we needed to try and support them.
 24 So I think that group was particularly strongly
 25 affected.

39

1 The other major impact, I think we'll probably come
 2 on to this, is that the emphasis on providing a really
 3 high quality student experience in terms of the
 4 education side definitely detracted from the research
 5 productivity at that time as people took the — took the
 6 need to focus on that absolute priority of ensuring that
 7 our students were able to progress and graduate, there
 8 were some significant impacts on staff in terms of their
 9 research progression. And that probably fell
 10 differentially amongst those who were caring at home for
 11 young children, particularly those who were
 12 homeschooling. We have to remember that, despite our
 13 requests, the Scottish Government did not classify
 14 university staff as key workers and so they didn't have
 15 access to that opportunity to — for childcare and
 16 schooling and so forth that I think probably did have an
 17 impact on research in the universities.
 18 Q. Thank you. Dr Duncan?
 19 DR DAVID DUNCAN: Yes, I mean just to pick up on a few
 20 things that have already been covered. I think that
 21 probably was one of the criticisms the sector made of
 22 the regulatory regime that it was just overly
 23 restrictive on research activities and perhaps a lack of
 24 empathy or understanding of the importance of those
 25 activities.

40

1 For a university like ours, across Scotland there's
2 an enormous amount of research activity going on in
3 universities, for us something like £200 to £250 million
4 worth of income every year comes from that. On average,
5 academics spend a third to 40 per cent of their time
6 doing research activity. It's hugely important in terms
7 of our reputation nationally and globally, but also more
8 important in terms of our contribution to humanity and
9 large swathes of that activity just had to be curtailed
10 for a significant period of time, with a loss of
11 research income as well for the university.

12 It's worth saying that there was a kind of switch —
13 those who could, whether they were medics or engineers,
14 quite a lot of them switched to doing COVID-related
15 research because the regime in terms of what you were
16 allowed to do on that research was more liberal, both in
17 terms of income because funding bodies were switching
18 money to support COVID-related activities, but also
19 because you were allowed to do the research there was a
20 turn to that type of activity for a period of time,
21 possibly to the detriment of other important areas of
22 activity as a consequence of that.

23 But it was a very difficult time for research
24 activity and it probably went on for too long in terms
25 of the restrictions.

41

1 Q. Thank you. Professor Macbeth, I don't want to leave you
2 out and I don't want to be presumptuous, but I'm
3 presuming that research and lab-based impacts were not
4 so much an issue for the Glasgow School Of Art.
5 PROFESSOR PENNY MACBETH: They weren't such an issue because
6 we don't have science and laboratories, but I just think
7 it would be helpful to say I think the lack of key
8 worker status for researchers was a hugely — hugely
9 affected staff in a really unhelpful way. And of course
10 we mustn't forget that we were right in the lead up to
11 the submission for the Research Excellent Framework, the
12 REF, which is absolutely critical to all institutions in
13 terms of their status within research and their ability
14 to partner, but also their funding. That was paused
15 actually and we were given additional time, which we
16 certainly took as a very small institution and that was
17 actually really critical at that point.

18 But also to echo what Dr Duncan said, we have staff
19 that are key parts of the Digital Care and the Health
20 Institute work with the University of Strathclyde and we
21 had a number of staff who were working into critical
22 areas within the COVID roll out in terms of innovation,
23 design and information design. So we had staff that
24 contributed enormously as well and, again, pivoted from
25 what they were working on to the pandemic itself.

42

1 So just really to reinforce and echo, but not to
2 forget REF, because actually that's a critical moment
3 for all HEIs.

4 Q. Thank you very much. My Lord, we need to take a break.
5 We're almost an hour in. It may be an opportune time to
6 take a break.

7 THE CHAIR: I would just like to say that you'll probably
8 not be surprised to know that many of the themes are
9 context related, but many of the themes we're hearing
10 we've heard for the past year now.

11 But to show you that inquiries can sometimes take
12 you by surprise, I have to say, Professor Macbeth, that
13 the substitution of precious metal by kelp is a complete
14 surprise, pleasantly.

15 Thank you. Quarter past 11.

16 (10.59 am)

(A short break)

18 (11.16 am)

19 THE CHAIR: Right. Ms van der Westhuizen when you're ready.

20 MS VAN DER WESTHUIZEN: Thank you, my Lord.

21 The next theme I have on my list is assessments,
22 attainments and classifications and new admissions, with
23 potentially just touching on, for example, difficulties
24 with online assessments, difficulties with the practical
25 assessments, perception of the integrity of the

43

1 assessment process, no detriment policies, any positive
2 impacts and any other relevant key issues.

3 And perhaps we can start on this one with Professor
4 Leydecker and then Dr Duncan and then Professor Macbeth.

5 PROFESSOR KARL LEYDECKER: Yes, assessment was one of the
6 key challenges through the pandemic, clearly we had to
7 move away from in-person assessments, the traditional
8 examination in an examination hall. And this had — a
9 lot of things needed to be thought about through that
10 process. So we had to develop guidance for our staff on
11 the integrity of the assessment and how we could
12 effectively assess in different contexts. We worked
13 with professional statutory regulatory bodies as well to
14 ensure the assessments were in line with their
15 expectations. And I think as well though it allowed an
16 experimentation and a modernisation of assessment
17 practices across the university and across the sector as
18 we moved to a different type of assessment.

19 We invested a lot in that. It was important to us
20 to ensure the integrity of our assessment, and we also
21 took a tremendous amount of time to ensure that students
22 were treated fairly through the process. So
23 universities are of course well familiar with catering
24 for the individual circumstances of students who might
25 be, for example, ill during a particular period of

44

1 assessment or suffering a bereavement or whatever. So
2 we have those sorts of things in place anyway, but of
3 course this was on a collective scale, whereby we tended
4 to take the approach across the university sector of a
5 no detriment policy.

6 So for example if a student had — we generally
7 classify degrees over the third and fourth year of their
8 typical four-year undergraduate degree in Scotland. So
9 we would ensure that if they performed less well in
10 their fourth year, they would not suffer a detriment if
11 it was a clear drop in their performance compared with
12 their third year. But we also had to make sure that
13 students were meeting the learning outcomes.

14 Ultimately, you can only award credit for learning
15 achieved if students meet the learning outcomes, but we
16 had to think quite hard about how we were assessing that
17 to ensure that students were continuing to meet the
18 learning outcomes and that was — that was a very
19 intensive piece of work.

20 There were lots of discussions across the sector
21 about how to approach this, but, ultimately, each
22 institution is responsible for its own academic
23 standards, working with its externally examiners and we
24 worked hard to ensure that (a) students did not suffer a
25 advantage and (b) standards were maintained throughout.

45

1 Q. Thank you. I think we heard from on the National Union
2 of Students and I think they indicated that there were a
3 lot of really good and generous no judgment policies out
4 there, but there was a concern that there was no
5 uniformity across the university sector in relation to
6 the no detriment policies that were implemented and it
7 made it difficult for them to give guarantees to
8 students or reassurance to students and I think they
9 described it as a feeling of concern about — some
10 universities were concerned about calling the policies
11 "no detriment policies" out of a fear of being perceived
12 to be soft touch. And there was concern about it going
13 to becoming a postcode lottery, where, depending on
14 which college or university you attended, you might or
15 might not get a good no detriment policy.

16 Could you perhaps just explain, you have probably
17 touched on it there, about each university being
18 responsible for their own accreditation, but why that
19 might have been a perception of a postcode lottery
20 across and why it might have been different levels of no
21 detriment policy implemented?

22 PROFESSOR KARL LEYDECKER: So I think that probably does
23 refer to that fact that universities classify degrees in
24 different ways and have that responsibility. So there
25 is no national approach to degree classification. There

46

1 are various different approaches in — across the UK and
2 the Scottish sector. I can appreciate that it might
3 have been helpful to have had some guidance on this that
4 might have been then approached by individual
5 institutions. You couldn't say you must do X or Y,
6 because that would not map on necessarily to the
7 assessment practices and the classification practices of
8 individual institutions.

9 But it is fair to say that we worked hard to look
10 and see what others were doing as well. So there was a
11 little bit of, oh, they've done something quite
12 interesting there and our teams had to look across the
13 sector to see what might be appropriate and
14 proportionate and we needed to have the confidence of
15 students and our own academic staff in everything that
16 we were doing as well. So I think some guidance might
17 have been helpful in ensuring that students understood
18 that there was a consistent approach.

19 Just as, for example, Universities Scotland
20 developed a consistent core of care in student
21 residences in September 2020 so that, you know, students
22 could then see that there would be a consistent approach
23 to that, and I think that helped to settle things down
24 in that space, something similar in relation to no
25 detriment might well have been helpful, but I don't

47

1 believe there was specific guidance either in the
2 Scotland or UK on no detriment.

3 Q. Thank you. Dr Duncan, if we could perhaps come to you
4 on this then?

5 DR DAVID DUNCAN: Yes, sure. Just to pick up on that theme
6 on no detriment, it's worth saying that universities
7 compete with each other all the time, but we're also
8 incredibly collaborative. People are constantly
9 swapping ideas and, in fact, the level of contact during
10 the pandemic increased markedly both with officials, but
11 also within people doing particular jobs within
12 universities. So that sharing of ideas and policy and
13 developing policy collectively, I think, happened almost
14 organically faced with new demands and pressures.

15 It's worth saying that the lockdown, the first
16 lockdown came just weeks before the main exam diet for
17 us and for most other higher education institutions so
18 we had to move very quickly to respond to how do we deal
19 with the summit of assessments and guarantee the
20 integrity of degree programmes. We took the decision,
21 as I think lots of universities did, not to have exams
22 for first or second years, in other words, to allow
23 progressions in upper years of undergraduate degree
24 programmes without that summit of assessment process and
25 to focus on people who were finishing their degrees,

48

1 whether it's masters degrees or the honours years of
 2 undergraduate degrees. And that allowed us to spend
 3 more time and effort in making sure the integrity of
 4 those assessments was maintained.

5 It's worth also saying that there has been a process
 6 to move away from an overfocus on exam hall-type
 7 assessments over a period of time. Universities for
 8 some time have been engaging in more varied richer,
 9 perhaps more meaningful, arguably more meaningful, forms
 10 of assessment, which don't just test retention of
 11 information, but test understanding and skills as well.
 12 The COVID period probably speeded that up to some
 13 extent.

14 It's also — it's probably worth acknowledging that
 15 there's still an active debate about the extent to which
 16 summit of assessment should rely on exam hall-type
 17 activity, particularly in the numerate disciplines,
 18 where there's a concern that, frankly, you can cheat if
 19 you are working at home. And so I think where we've go
 20 to, particularly in the numerate disciplines, is a
 21 balance of assessment that guarantees the integrity, but
 22 also tests different aspects of a student's performance.
 23 And in fact the COVID period to some extent has been
 24 helpful in moving on thinking in that regard.

25 But I think it's — just to repeat what Professor

49

1 Leydecker said, I mean the absolute focus was on
 2 maintaining the integrity of degree programmes and
 3 making sure that standards were maintained across the
 4 board and I think we did a really good job on that.

5 It's worth also saying worth adding that we are, across
 6 many professional degrees, subject to externally
 7 scrutiny by bodies, Law Society of Scotland, General
 8 Medical Council, a plethora of other bodies. We didn't
 9 get help or guidance from those bodies I think through
 10 the period. They themselves had not done much thinking
 11 and would simply say you need to make sure that students
 12 have attained the learning objectives that we've
 13 defined, but the thinking went on in universities. A
 14 lot of that thinking was shared across the sector and I
 15 think we did a pretty good job.

16 Q. And you have touched on the lack of guidance from
 17 accreditation bodies. Were there any other practical
 18 issues with, for example, for practical subjects with
 19 accreditation when you were dealing with external
 20 bodies?

21 DR DAVID DUNCAN: So there did have — the General Dental
 22 Council would be one where basically they just simple
 23 said you can't graduate the students because they just
 24 haven't had sufficient experience. Nobody wants to go
 25 to an inexperienced dentist of course. So it kind of

50

1 made sense to delay the cohort for that particular
 2 discipline.

3 In other areas, over time there was, you know, a
 4 growing level of engagement with those bodies to make
 5 sure that they were comfortable with the forms of
 6 assessment that were being applied, but in some
 7 instances of course you had to use proxies, rather than
 8 practical tests.

9 Q. I'm thinking, for example, you mentioned you have a
 10 veterinary school as well. Were there any particular
 11 issues, for example, around veterinary students?

12 DR DAVID DUNCAN: Yes, I think sometimes examinations would
 13 be done online, rather than in the surgery or on the
 14 farm. We have a university farm. But once you get into
 15 outside disciplines, it was easier to maintain the
 16 social distancing that was required to meet the
 17 government's regulations.

18 Q. Thank you. Professor Macbeth?

19 PROFESSOR PENNY MACBETH: Yes, so really similar experiences
 20 from us, really obviously focusing on standards. Where
 21 we had professional accreditation with the Royal
 22 Institute of British Architects, there was a very clear
 23 network approach from the architecture schools in terms
 24 of how they would maintain standards and work through
 25 the requirements from RIBA. So I think that worked

51

1 quite successfully there.

2 One of the things we really had to do and to focus
 3 students' minds on and particularly graduating students
 4 was even though our graduate shows are now separated
 5 from the final examination of work, they're very much
 6 intrinsically linked in the students' minds and so
 7 therefore we had to really strongly reiterate that they
 8 were two separate pieces of work. But also there was
 9 this absolute need for students to be able to showcase
 10 their work in a different way to the way they would
 11 normally have done through graduate shows, but actually
 12 to have graduate online shows.

13 So that process of gathering a digital portfolio,
 14 where we had to encourage students to possibly rethink
 15 what their outcomes had previously been going to be when
 16 we closed in March. You know, you're not suddenly going
 17 to be able to weld a very large-scale sculpture, you
 18 might have to do something else. To support the student
 19 in understanding there would be no detriment around
 20 that, that would all be taken into account and the
 21 previous trajectory and the way they had been working
 22 had been documented and fully understood and we could
 23 get to an endpoint. But also then to reassure that,
 24 yes, we would show the work and actually now to an
 25 international audience on these digital platforms that

52

1 then have a legacy beyond the normal time span of a
2 graduate show.
3 So although that was a very difficult moment for
4 students and we did actually follow up later on with a
5 whole series of pop-up shows that probably we have only
6 just concluded actually doing for students to give them
7 that exposure in person to the art world, that I think
8 is something that stayed with us. And so most
9 institutions now do have graduate online platforms as
10 well as their physical shows. So a whole range of
11 approaches.

12 We do a whole range of internal examination as well
13 as externally examination, which we invite colleagues
14 from the rest of the sector to engage in. And so our
15 methods in a sense were already worked through. They
16 just had to happen in a different realm, which was
17 digital, rather than physical, in the first instance.

18 Q. Thank you very much.

19 Then if we could perhaps move on to mental health,
20 wellbeing and social impacts, and include discussion,
21 for example, on impacts and mental health and wellbeing,
22 any support offered, social impacts, disproportionate
23 impacts on particular cohorts of students or other
24 relevant key issues. And I think in this context if we
25 can focus on mental health and wellbeing and social

53

1 impacts on students rather than staff, because we'll
2 come on to discuss impacts on staff separately.

3 So perhaps we can start with Dr Duncan this time
4 followed by Professor Macbeth and Professor Leydecker.

5 DR DAVID DUNCAN: Thank you, I mean this is a very broad
6 topic. To start with, with social impacts, obviously, a
7 lot of students were living away from home and so when
8 the pandemic started, when lockdown started, a lot of
9 students couldn't go home and so we felt as an
10 institution we had a duty obviously to help to look
11 after, in the general sense, students, particularly
12 students living in our residences. There was one
13 residence with 1200 students, all first year students,
14 in Maryhill in Glasgow where at the peak we had
15 something like 600 students were testing positive for
16 COVID, which basically meant every flat in that building
17 people couldn't go out. They were having to stay in and
18 they were having to be supplied with food.

19 That was probably the most severe example we had as
20 a university, but obviously you had people socially
21 isolated, facing practical issues like access to food
22 and so on, but also suffering the loneliness and
23 isolation that could come from that and being separated
24 from their families, which is what was different from
25 the rest of the population. And of course that

54

1 continued throughout the successive lockdowns as well.

2 I think it's probably fair to say that overtime more
3 students over the years — certainly the years I have
4 been in this job — more students have been coming
5 forward with mental health conditions and with
6 conditions like neurodivergence on the autism spectrum,
7 ADHD and so on of varying levels of severity. I think
8 we have seen since 2020, the numbers here are 54 per
9 cent increase in students coming forward to register
10 with our disability services and 42 per cent of them
11 have mental health issues and we see that number
12 realising. I think overall we have got about 14 per
13 cent of our students now have registered with disability
14 and that's higher in other universities. I think in
15 Edinburgh it's closer to 20 per cent. So there was a
16 trend that was happening I think before COVID. I think
17 it's impressionistic, but you can sort of guess from the
18 numbers that COVID has exacerbated that experience but
19 the trend is continuing post COVID.

20 So mental health issues is one thing, social issues
21 is another, but the two obviously intersect. We could
22 probably talk for hours about it. It was a very
23 important aspect of the provision that we tried to offer
24 students throughout the pandemic and beyond.

25 Q. Thank you. Professor Macbeth?

55

1 PROFESSOR PENNY MACBETH: I concur with Dr Duncan on pretty
2 much everything he has just said, apart from we didn't
3 have major outbreaks in our halls of residence, I think
4 partly because some of your students had managed to
5 travel home prior to the main lockdown. But the
6 students that were left were either students that didn't
7 feel they were able to go home or international students
8 who were still with us. So at various points in the
9 pandemic and various moments, we may have had more or
10 less students, but we did manage to avoid major
11 outbreaks, which I think was helpful. But essentially
12 it was that loneliness, isolation, or potentially a
13 student being in a group where actually perhaps
14 relations had broken down for one reason or another and
15 that's quite hard to manage, although an awful lot of
16 pastoral care went into those residences in terms of
17 care packages and a whole range of wraparound
18 interventions essentially.

19 But of course the trend around mental health and
20 wellbeing being a problem that was increasing within the
21 sector and very noticeable I think to us all over the
22 last 5- to 10-year period had meant we were building our
23 teams in that area, but essentially actually there is
24 such a need for specialists in that field that actually
25 it's quite hard to access them.

56

1 So we had a small team, because we're a small
2 institution . We then really invested in a whole range
3 of other sort of online platforms, triage options and
4 certainly in the Christmas period 2020, when we went
5 into a second lockdown, we were very concerned about
6 people — students' situation at that point during that
7 period and put in a whole range of additional
8 interventions and had a senior call system, which I
9 think most institutions did, but really mindful also of
10 the impact of our counselling staff at that point and
11 actually the enormous work they had done and the
12 terrific work they had done and that actually that whole
13 triage of that space we needed to make sure that they
14 had some downtime as well.

15 So we put in a whole range of measures actually that
16 we've kept now, because actually they ensure that
17 somebody doesn't drop through any gaps in our systems,
18 which is obviously absolutely essential . I think the
19 issue really was around students that wouldn't perhaps
20 normally have been affected, the loneliness , isolation ,
21 but also the uncertainty of the situation with us moving
22 in and out of tiers or in and out of lockdowns. So for
23 anyone that's feeling vulnerable, that lack of certainty
24 actually increases that. So I would say that would be
25 something we really need to consider in a future

57

1 pandemic.
2 Q. Thank you very much. Professor Leydecker?
3 PROFESSOR KARL LEYDECKER: Yes, I think thinking about the
4 four harms, the individual and societal wellbeing, this
5 is absolutely squarely the issue here around student
6 wellbeing and there is no doubt, as colleagues have
7 already mentioned, that there were very significant
8 impacts on mental health across our student body and I
9 think that some of that has been lasting as well . It
10 was good that we were able to get some additional
11 support with providing counselling , for example, but
12 there's no doubt that that level of counselling has
13 needed to remain in place and indeed expand even in
14 subsequent years post pandemic.

15 As we talked about a little bit earlier , the range
16 of different support needs was one of the defining
17 things. So there were people who were isolated, as we
18 have heard. There were people in private rental
19 accommodations who were perhaps particularly isolated
20 away from home and away from even, you know, a student
21 in the nextdoor room or whatever. There were people in
22 halls of residence who were subject probably to greater
23 restrictions , because there were testing regimes and the
24 close proximities than perhaps other groups in society,
25 even though infection levels I think have been proved to

58

1 be pretty similar across that age group subsequently.
2 There were students who were — simply didn't have a
3 home to go to. That's — there were care experience
4 students, there were international students who had to
5 remain in residence and I think that was not always
6 fully appreciated.

7 So I think the key was having a dedicated team of
8 people who cared passionately about trying to respond to
9 the varying needs, whether in our own halls of
10 residents , whether in the private rentals sector ,
11 whether the students were at home and working remotely.
12 So we worked really hard on trying to understand what
13 they were facing and then to try to respond to it and to
14 try to create that social opportunity they were so
15 missing. There's no doubt that that had a significant
16 and lasting impact on that cohort, those cohorts of
17 students that went to university during that period:

18 Q. Thank you.

19 Professor Leydecker, if I could just ask you to
20 elaborate on something you mentioned there, you
21 mentioned the testing regimes and that it subsequently
22 turned out that the levels of infection amongst the
23 students were reflective of the wider population of
24 their age group. What sort of consequences were there
25 of that or if you could elaborate a bit for about what

59

1 the testing regimes were as well, please.
2 PROFESSOR KARL LEYDECKER: I think outbreaks in student
3 halls of residence were probably more prominent, were
4 more visible in the media and in the public perception
5 and that led perhaps to longer restrictions on the way
6 we were able to operate, compared with other
7 jurisdictions . So for example, in the latter part of
8 the pandemic when restrictions were beginning to be
9 lifted , we had considerable distancing requirements in
10 place, for example, in our libraries and public spaces
11 that were not operating in nightclubs and pubs. And so
12 you had a differential between what was possible for our
13 students and what was possible for them in other
14 contexts, and I think that that was not necessarily
15 helpful in allowing universities to create the kinds of
16 social spaces, the kinds of interactions socially that
17 for a group that was, you know, predominantly amongst
18 that younger 18 to 22—year—old cohorts, that's not all
19 of our student body of course, but for those students
20 I think that they were probably subject to restrictions
21 in university settings for longer than was necessary and
22 longer than was operating in other contexts. And that
23 was both confusing for them and also I think detrimental
24 in allowing us to create the right social and learning
25 conditions to improve their mental health.

60

1 Q. Thank you very much. If we could then move on to
2 discuss the --- again it's in quotation marks ---
3 "reopening" of university campuses and return to
4 face-to-face learning, and include in the discussion,
5 for example, multiple closures and reopenings and any
6 issues around that, the level of understanding by the
7 Scottish Government of the complex nature of
8 universities, which we have already touched on in the
9 context of closures, any issues in relation to the
10 differences in rules and guidance for Scotland compared
11 to other parts of the UK and across different parts of
12 Scotland --- we have already touched on that, but if you
13 could laboratory on that --- and for universities
14 compared with other sectors in society.

15 Any issues with the sort of one-size-fits-all
16 approach given the size and locations of different
17 campuses and the practical consequences of applying
18 particular a rule, for example the 5 per cent threshold
19 or the two metre distancing requirement, which we have
20 already touched on, and any particular challenges in
21 getting staff and/or students to return to campus and
22 any other relevant key issues.

23 There is a lot in there, but please feel free to
24 pick and choose what are the key ones. If we can
25 perhaps start with Professor Leydecker and then work our

61

1 way back down the line with Professor Macbeth and
2 Dr Duncan?
3 PROFESSOR KARL LEYDECKER: So the framework was introduced
4 of levels. While on the face of it helpful in providing
5 that framework, I think our perception was that it was
6 almost one level too far in the direction of restriction
7 throughout, so at various levels, level 4, level 3, the
8 presumption was of very little in-person level, except
9 when it was absolutely essential, and that persisted for
10 a very long time and meant that we were operating under
11 a much more restricted environment and planning
12 framework than universities in other parts of the UK.

13 So, for example, in May 2021 in England universities
14 were largely returning to in-person learning. That did
15 not occur in Scotland until March 2022 when broadly the
16 pandemic was deemed to be beyond level zero in Scotland,
17 and I think that that --- I think colleagues south of the
18 border were astonished at the extent to which we were
19 still operating as they had been operating during the
20 early stages of its pandemic. So I think that had a
21 very significant impact on higher education in Scotland
22 in terms of our ability to shorten the severity of the
23 impact and the kind of impacts we've talked about in
24 relation to mental health and so forth.

25 That's probably the key area I would want to focus

62

1 on. Perhaps stop at that point just for now.

2 Q. Okay, we can perhaps move down and come back.

3 PROFESSOR PENNY MACBETH: Okay, so there were a number of
4 things that really affected us in terms of that
5 reopening and I completely agree with everything
6 Professor Leydecker has just said.

7 Certainly that moment around Christmas 2020 to
8 January 2021, the uncertainty and concern around whether
9 students would be able to travel home and how that would
10 manifest itself and also then how they would come back
11 was an immense moment of concern I think for all of us
12 just in terms of, you know, what would be the
13 implications if that weren't able to happen. So I think
14 that was a hugely concerning moment and particularly in
15 terms of the different rules that were operating in the
16 other four nations, never mind internationally, but that
17 sense of if you were going to England or if you were
18 going to Wales, et cetera, et cetera, what that might
19 mean for a student or even a member of staff actually.
20 So I think that's something that we really need to think
21 of going forward.

22 In terms of Scotland in terms of art schools,
23 doesn't really apply to the conservatoire in quite the
24 same way, I don't think, we certainly had a lot of
25 pushback between students who had friends, siblings at

63

1 other art schools, Edinburgh College of Art and Duncan
2 of Jordanstone, et cetera, because they were in
3 different tiers a lot of the time to us in Glasgow and
4 we were in a much higher tier. So our students quite
5 rightly probably thought why are they being so punitive,
6 why are they being so strict with this and of course it
7 was our particular setting and circumstances which of
8 course we communicated and reiterated, but,
9 nevertheless, it was very difficult for them to
10 understand that. And of course in terms of staff, that
11 was confusing for them in terms of commuting staff as
12 well and whether they were able to commute to us to be
13 on campus, if that was necessary, once we had started to
14 open up.

15 We also had changes and differences in our operating
16 model between our Highlands campus and our Glasgow
17 campus for the majority of the pandemic. In terms of
18 return to campus, certainly for ourselves there was a
19 moment where we were allowed to allow 5 per cent back
20 onto campus in sort of late 2021. We were in a position
21 where certainly conservatoires and art schools needed to
22 lobby across from UK into Scottish Government to say,
23 actually, we need all of our final years back in or end
24 students who were about to graduate so they can actually
25 use the resources, because everybody in the rest of the

64

1 UK is doing that now and we're now at a huge
2 disadvantage. We're putting our students at a
3 disadvantage. There's no data that's telling us that
4 that is putting anyone in a difficult situation and
5 actually, because of lobbying across from universities '
6 UK groups into Universities Scotland and close work with
7 the minister at that time, we were able to make that
8 case and to get back in at that point.

9 But that fluctuation and the optics of how we looked
10 in Scotland in terms of why you might apply to us and
11 come to us when they are trying to weigh up should I go
12 to an English art school rather than a Scottish art
13 school, in terms of our inability to allow full access
14 or even any access into campus for most groups was
15 really concerning and did affect us and we're probably
16 only just recovering from that now.

17 Q. Thank you. Dr Duncan?

18 DR DAVID DUNCAN: Similar theme really, we felt that at this
19 stage the regulation — the enforcement of the
20 regulation was too slow in moving and also there were
21 frankly too many stages in it. It became quite
22 confusing to remember the differences between the
23 different stages and I think some of the advice we got
24 nationally at that stage was a bit weak. Lots of
25 confusions about whether you were supposed to cut

65

1 bottoms off doors and all the rest of it just became a
2 little bit ridiculous.

3 I think as a consequence of that there was a certain
4 sense of loss of moral authority. Whereas people at the
5 start of the COVID—19 pandemic were prepared to accept
6 any rules, because they didn't know what's going to
7 happen, by that stage they were beginning to become
8 sceptical about the rules that were being applied which
9 were too slow in moving and too complicated and too
10 confusing.

11 Then the differences between the rules in Scotland
12 and England it was impossible really to understand why
13 there had to be any differences. Even the slogan was
14 different. In England they had, what was it, "hands,
15 face, space". In Scotland we had some longer slogan to
16 go with. It just became a little bit confusing and, as
17 I say, I think there was a bit of a loss of moral
18 authority, particularly with that younger demographic.
19 People 18 to 24, most of our students in that age group
20 are the least at risk demographic. Most of them had had
21 COVID. They had also been vaccinated. They knew that
22 if they got COVID, the worst of their symptoms for the
23 vast majority were like a common cold and yet they were
24 being restricted in their activities.

25 You know, some of the more — some of the odder

66

1 aspects — there was a joke going around that are if you
2 declared your library a pub, you would be able to reduce
3 the social distancing that you were required to do,
4 because in pubs you could stand closer together than you
5 could in a library. These sort of things didn't seem to
6 make sense to people and I think there was a certain
7 amount of dissonance in that period.

8 Q. Thank you. In terms of were there any challenges in
9 getting staff and/or students to return to campus at any
10 point and perhaps I can start with you, Dr Duncan and
11 work our way back up the line.

12 DR DAVID DUNCAN: I think for students, no. I think
13 students were keen to come back and they were keen to
14 come back earlier than they were allowed to and the kind
15 of year difference between England and Scotland I think
16 was particularly unfortunate.

17 For staff it varied. Some — people are different
18 and some staff had internalised a degree of anxiety that
19 sometimes was expressed to us individually, sometimes
20 through the trade unions. And so for some people it was
21 harder and it still is for some people harder than
22 others. But I think most staff were pretty keen to come
23 back when they were allowed to and saw it as a blessed
24 relief from being trapped in their own homes.

25 Q. Thank you.

67

1 PROFESSOR KARL LEYDECKER: I would add, I do think that for
2 some staff — there were particularly vulnerable groups
3 of course and we worked really hard to ensure that we
4 understood who were in the most vulnerable category and
5 to ensure that they were not needing to come back and we
6 took a very patient and humane and sympathetic approach
7 to those who did have that and it wasn't simply staff as
8 well.

9 I do think there were many students who were quite
10 reluctant to return and I guess one of the lasting
11 impacts is that, you know, there's no doubt probably
12 that campuses are less busy in terms of both staff and
13 students following that and it has impacts for our
14 thinking about the future of our estate, what kinds of
15 space to create in order to facilitate that social
16 interaction, because there's no point coming into a
17 campus where the buildings are empty. So I think while
18 there's not necessarily reluctance, we did all put in
19 place homeworking arrangements which have remained in
20 place and had a lasting impact.

21 So reluctance not, but not always reluctance but
22 just changed patterns of ways of working that people had
23 found other ways of working and for some that has been a
24 positive thing. They have been able to balance work
25 with care and so on. We may come onto impacts on staff,

68

1 but that certainly — so it was not a straightforward
2 return, you know, now everyone is back, so to speak. It
3 was quite nuanced and a lot of individual circumstances
4 were taken into account in relation to both staff and
5 students.

6 Q. Thank you. And Professor Macbeth, did you have anything
7 to add?

8 MS VAN DER WESTHUIZEN: I have nothing to add actually.
9 I think it's been covered by colleagues.

10 Q. Okay, thank you.

11 Well, then perhaps we can turn to impacts on
12 universities, university heads and staff and include in
13 the discussion, for example, financial impacts on
14 universities, impacts on the ability to recruit foreign
15 students, any ongoing impacts and any other relevant key
16 issues.

17 And perhaps we can start with Professor Macbeth and
18 then followed by Dr Duncan and Professor Leydecker.

19 PROFESSOR PENNY MACBETH: So in the first year of COVID, the
20 financial impacts were very kind of apparent to us all
21 and I think we all modeled a whole range of scenarios in
22 terms of what the financial impact would be in terms of
23 particularly loss of international students, but also
24 home and rest of UK students as well. There was a
25 financial impact for us, but it was at the lower end of

69

1 our modeling. You know, potentially, it could have been
2 really problematic for us.

3 That was — we managed to mitigate that, I think,
4 because there was a lot of leeway between if students
5 might start online. If they were international
6 students, we couldn't necessarily expect them to start
7 in person, because we didn't know if in person was even
8 going to happen. So there was a lot of unknowns and I
9 suppose in a sense we were able to make that work. So
10 although financially there was an impact, it did affect
11 us and obviously that has an ongoing impact as we move
12 forward that I think we mitigated that as much as we
13 could and we did have support in doing that from the
14 Funding Council and others and were very much listened
15 to in terms of our concerns in that space.

16 In terms of recruitment, I think it did obviously
17 affect our international recruitment, but it affected
18 also a whole range of home and rest of UK students in
19 terms of the decision as to whether they would come to
20 university in Scotland or whether they would perhaps
21 rather go somewhere else in the UK where they could see
22 slightly less stringent regulations. Of course
23 sometimes that works in reverse, because some people
24 like the more regulated environment and are happier with
25 that, but generally that did affect us in small ways

70

1 I think.

2 In terms of heads and staff, I think obviously just
3 the enormous amount of work that has to go into an
4 emergency situation and the new ways of working which
5 stay with you and are positives, but you still have your
6 core business to attend to, you still have your
7 strategic plan to attend to. And I think there was
8 certainly a point sort of December 2020, January 2021,
9 where it was quite clear to us all I think that business
10 had to continue as usual and we had to manage our
11 pandemic strategy so we had — we had moved to a COVID
12 gold group and in a sense we needed to manage that as a
13 key strand, but it had to be managed parallel to our
14 core business and our other sort of obligations in terms
15 of student experience, partnership, et cetera, et
16 cetera.

17 So I think we all sort of moved into that space
18 whilst managing and managing an awful lot of time and
19 effort at very senior levels. The amount of time
20 principals meant was I think monthly if not bi-weekly at
21 certain points, normally that's a quarterly meeting for
22 us, and certainly other colleagues in similar networks
23 and working into government networks. So just the
24 enormous effort for ourselves, but also our student
25 representatives as well, our student associates and

71

1 student unions, the amount of work they did within their
2 networks, but with partnership with us I think was
3 extensive and I think can't be underestimated.

4 It does mean that we all have a blueprint for what
5 we do if there's another pandemic or other really
6 concerning situation that we need to kind of get
7 ourselves around quickly, and I suppose you have to just
8 take the enormous effort into a moment of well,
9 actually, we know we can do that and we know how to do
10 it, we have the tools to do it. So I think that's
11 important for us to note.

12 Q. Thank you. Dr Duncan?

13 DR DAVID DUNCAN: Not too much to add. It's worth saying
14 that in universities like ours it's no secret we are
15 heavily depend on income from the international student
16 fee account and that's important both in terms of
17 income, but also in terms of our global reputation and,
18 at the early days of the pandemic, we were scenario
19 planning for a complete loss of international students
20 and catastrophic loss of income and global reach.

21 In reality, that didn't happen. You know, we
22 managed to maintain our recruitment of international
23 students and our attractiveness and the income
24 associated with it through the pandemic and that was,
25 you know, it wasn't something we anticipated, but so it

72

1 wasn't really as bad as we had thought.
 2 The impact on research activity, as I think we said
 3 earlier, was probably quite severe and a lot of research
 4 activity was disrupted and we're still recovering from
 5 that now I think. Otherwise, I think, as I was saying
 6 earlier, to some extent, as in other sectors, we
 7 surprised ourselves with how agile we could be when
 8 faced with a major challenge like this and I think
 9 because there was a will across the student population,
 10 across the staff population to respond positively and to
 11 adapt to the new situation, there was a kind of a
 12 Dunkirk spirit that affected the whole place and I think
 13 positive outcomes and we learnt new skills through it.
 14 University of Glasgow ran one of the national PCR
 15 labs. We hired 800 staff within a few months and tested
 16 tens of millions of people right through the pandemic
 17 and then let those staff go at the end of it. So these
 18 sorts of reactions and responses to, you know, what was
 19 a national crisis I think were genuinely positive.
 20 We also worked to avoid advantage. There's a risk
 21 that people's promotion prospects and so on would be
 22 impeded by not being able to engage in things like
 23 research activities and there was a lot of effort went
 24 on with the trade unions quite often to make sure we
 25 were being fair to all staff groups.

73

1 Q. Thank you. Just in relation to you mentioned then
 2 obviously no secret that the universities are heavily
 3 dependent on funding from international students or
 4 income from international students, one of the concerns
 5 raised by the National Union of Students when they gave
 6 evidence last week was specifically in relation to
 7 international students signing up for courses
 8 specifically in the 2020/2021 year, and not getting the
 9 experience that they signed up for by having to go into
 10 lockdown.
 11 Do you have any comments on that? And I think
 12 Professor Macbeth has suggested that Glasgow School of
 13 Art allowed international students to start online
 14 before coming in. What was the position in Glasgow?
 15 DR DAVID DUNCAN: It was very similar. Obviously,
 16 everybody's experience was affected by COVID and by a
 17 switch to largely online learning and that probably
 18 particularly affected international students. UK
 19 universities are incredibly attractive for international
 20 students. We attract — you know, we compete with the
 21 best in the world in that sphere and Glasgow and other
 22 universities here represented included in that, but we
 23 maintained that attractiveness I think through the COVID
 24 period and we did our best to make sure that the
 25 experience was a meaningful one for all students,

74

1 whether international or home students.
 2 Q. Thank you. Professor Leydecker?
 3 PROFESSOR KARL LEYDECKER: Just to add to that. I don't
 4 think there's evidence, for example, from the national
 5 student survey that's conducted across all universities
 6 of a fall in satisfaction through the pandemic.
 7 I think colleagues have covered off the financial
 8 impacts. I don't think they were — they didn't turn
 9 out to be what we anticipated.
 10 I would like to focus more on the wellbeing impacts
 11 on staff. I think there's no doubt that the workload
 12 pressures were exceptionally intense, throughout the
 13 pandemic, but particularly in the early part. We
 14 conducted a survey, a COVID survey, in June 2020 and the
 15 overriding message was around workload pressures and
 16 that was really across the board. It was —
 17 We've heard about the pressures on our academic
 18 staff to deliver education in a new way while trying to
 19 sometimes do research at the same time, but there are
 20 also our technical professional support staff, the ones
 21 who were on campus. We worked really hard to ensure
 22 that they felt that we really valued them in the
 23 communications that we put out. I think the key thing
 24 was to create a sense of a single community working
 25 together across the entirety of staff and students.

75

1 We took a number of measures to address the workload
 2 challenges. We set up a group with our campus trade
 3 unions to look at how we might address workload and I
 4 think that stood us in good stead. We took a number of
 5 key decisions that have been long-lasting about the
 6 organisation of meetings, the timing of meetings, the
 7 length of meetings, the avoidance of email out of hours
 8 and at weekends, the necessity of people taking their
 9 annual leave and so on to try to address those ongoing
 10 workload pressures. Those workload pressures were
 11 repeated in the 2020 end of day year survey and in our
 12 survey in 2022.
 13 There's no doubt that the pandemic put an enormous
 14 strain on people because they wanted to do the right
 15 thing for the staff that they were working with and the
 16 students that they were working with and — but those
 17 are, I think, lasting issues and there's no doubt that,
 18 you know, that there is some talk of burn out amongst
 19 some staff, because of the work that was put in
 20 throughout that period. People in the NHS, our clinical
 21 staff, you know, were working incredibly hard to
 22 maintain the care in those clinical settings, while also
 23 trying to deliver education in teaching our medical
 24 students.
 25 Those are just some of the elements of it, but

76

1 I think we need to put on record that was a really key
 2 concern and the partnership working with trade unions
 3 was really strong in our institution , I believe , across
 4 institutions and that that stood us in good stead in
 5 trying to work through those exceptionally challenging
 6 years for all of us.

7 Q. Thank you, I'm going to come on just under this heading
 8 to ask you all about key worker status, which we touched
 9 on in the context of impacts in relation to research.
 10 So I'll come on to ask you about it more generally
 11 across, but, Professor Leydecker, before we move off,
 12 Professor Duncan mentioned working to ensure staff
 13 weren't adversely affected in terms of career
 14 progression I think.

15 In Aberdeen University you mentioned, when you were
 16 discussing impacts on research, PHD students in
 17 particular and then you touched on those with caring
 18 responsibilities , staff with caring responsibilities .
 19 Which staff were those that might have had higher caring
 20 responsibilities ?

21 PROFESSOR KARL LEYDECKER: So in our survey in 2020, 44 per
 22 cent of respondents said that they had caring
 23 responsibilities , this is across all staff , and 47 per
 24 cent reported that this included homeschooling
 25 responsibilities . These were widespread experiences by

77

1 our staff and that's why we had to make adaptations, for
 2 example, in our promotion system to allow people to
 3 indicate where there might be mitigations in relation ,
 4 for example, to the volume of research outputs or amount
 5 of activity that they might have been able to do.

6 We also tried to prioritise those who had been most
 7 impacted for things like research leave when that was
 8 possible to take when the pressures were off and teams
 9 were able to free up staff , but it was really all hands
 10 to the pump of for a while. But that had a
 11 disproportionate impact on those with caring
 12 responsibilities , particularly in relation to
 13 homeschooling, which particularly of a younger age
 14 cohort. I think it really depended on the age of one's
 15 children as to how intensive that period was. But that
 16 was really --- and obviously for single parents it's a
 17 much more complicated arrangement to try and balance
 18 that --- those responsibilities with --- to the education
 19 of the next generation with carrying out one's role at
 20 the university .

21 Q. And was there any --- was it equally split between men
 22 and women or was it disproportionately backed on?

23 PROFESSOR KARL LEYDECKER: I think probably more women had
 24 more responsibility for more of the care, but we
 25 realised early on that that was a --- that was --- one

78

1 shouldn't jump to that conclusion and there were
 2 certainly households where that was not the. Case, for
 3 example, you might have had the a female member of the
 4 household might be a key worker going out to work in a
 5 hospital or whatever and so the responsibility fell on
 6 perhaps want male in a household in a two-person
 7 household with young children.

8 So again, best not to jump to conclusions about
 9 which particular demographics were impacted. We did
 10 some work about --- early on in the pandemic looking at
 11 our research grant applicants and so on and we found
 12 that actually, early on at least, there were not
 13 particular gender impacts that we could see in the work
 14 that we're doing. We tried to monitor that very
 15 carefully . So I wouldn't draw general conclusions.

16 Q. Thank you. And then perhaps we can just then touch on
 17 key worker status and whether that was --- you mentioned
 18 that research staff weren't granted key worker status.
 19 What was the issue in relation to other just general
 20 teaching staff and other staff , nonacademic staff, and
 21 perhaps start with Professor Leydecker and work back
 22 down the line?

23 PROFESSOR KARL LEYDECKER: I think it didn't really matter
 24 what role you're in , this was a potential impact both ---
 25 we talked about research before, but there were those

79

1 who were heavily involved in the education side who were
 2 obviously having to deliver probably more classes in
 3 smaller groups when we were able to return to in-person
 4 teaching in small groups and so forth. But also those
 5 who had to come on to campus to do their roles, the ones
 6 who were providing the food parcels to students, who
 7 were doing at the laundry, the ones who were maintaining
 8 the IT systems, the ones who were doing all of that
 9 work.

10 So I don't think it was a particular part of our
 11 workforce. I think it was --- I think as I have said
 12 there was a general impact and possibly the ones who had
 13 to be on campus were the ones who had the most challenge
 14 in any way, because if they weren't able to find --- if
 15 they didn't have key worker status, then they clearly
 16 had significant difficulties in being able to come on to
 17 campus and find other arrangements for the caring
 18 responsibilities at home and the homeschooling
 19 responsibilities .

20 Q. Thank you. Professor Macbeth?

21 PROFESSOR PENNY MACBETH: Yes, so essentially pretty much
 22 all staff , whether they were coming in and key workers
 23 or whether they were not deemed to be key workers but
 24 having to not only home school, but potentially be
 25 carers as well for others who wouldn't necessarily be

80

1 able to go into a health or medical setting that perhaps
2 previously would have been able to do, in a sense that
3 affected everyone, but they did have to continue
4 working. And I think the thoughts about how key worker
5 status is allocated I think is absolutely key going
6 forward, because the pressure is on those — everybody
7 really in that situation.

8 And I agree with Professor Leydecker, I don't think
9 it kind of had a particular gender effect. I think it
10 affected all sorts overpeople in all sorts of settings
11 in a variety of ways. So I do think the notion of what
12 a key worker is and particularly if there was caring or
13 childcare responsibilities involved is absolutely
14 essential going forward.

15 We managed it. We tried to triage workload. You
16 know, we listened to folk, we had workarounds, but there
17 was an awful lot of new approaches and new ways of
18 working that had to happen. So initially devising new
19 ways of working and therefore the workload in terms of
20 perhaps delivering a class, but in a very different way
21 online meant that there was an enormous amount of
22 additional pressure, but also additional expectation
23 from the receivers of that information, whether that be
24 work colleagues or students, and then obviously going
25 back into settings or partially going back into settings

81

1 with really quite small student groups. We already
2 deliver in pretty small student groups, but when you
3 have social distancing, the sort of multiplication of
4 that, but obviously the expectation from a student is
5 still the same.

6 So all of those things really need to be thought
7 through and triaged, if this were to happen again.

8 Q. Thank you. And Dr Duncan?

9 DR DAVID DUNCAN: I don't have much to add.

10 On our campuses, there were about 70 people that
11 were deemed to be I think essential workers that had to
12 come in. Certain amount of tension at times between
13 staff whose jobs required them always to be on campus
14 and others who have greater flexibility, but that's true
15 all the time anyway in universities so I think it's
16 something we lived with.

17 Q. Thank you. Then finally, if I can invite you to
18 indicate any potential lessons that can be learned from
19 the experience of the pandemic, just in order to either
20 mitigate impacts that are ongoing and/or to ensure that
21 those impacts aren't felt as severely in any future
22 pandemic or other disaster.

23 If I could start with Dr Duncan and we can work down
24 the line?

25 DR DAVID DUNCAN: Just four quick points from me.

82

1 One is I remember before COVID came along being
2 advised by our health and safety people that if there is
3 ever a pandemic, you will be told what to do. Public
4 health authorities will come in, your authority will be
5 taken away and you will be given clear instruction.
6 That just wasn't the case. There wasn't draft guidance
7 in place, I think, for any sort of pandemic as far as we
8 could see. And I think the first lesson has to be that
9 the country has to prepare — authorities have to
10 prepare with some sort of draft guidance for different
11 scenarios well in relevance next time.

12 Secondly, if we could avoid local variation and see
13 more joined-up thinking across the nations of the UK,
14 that would be helpful, because I think the variation
15 that we saw was unnecessary and extremely unhelpful for
16 us as we went about our business.

17 The third is that narrative that crept into public
18 discourse during the pandemic around balance of risk is
19 something that we need to think about from the start,
20 rather than just say, well, we'll lock everybody down
21 because that's the right thing to do to avoid deaths.
22 Well, not necessarily. You're locking people up who are
23 suffering a common cold and actually having a severely
24 adverse impact potentially on their mental health.
25 These sorts issues I think need to be kept in balance in

83

1 the pre-thinking, as well as once the pandemic starts.

2 And then the last thing I think which is probably a
3 running conversation that ought to go on is around
4 making better use of the expertise that we've got in our
5 higher education institutions. I mean obviously a lot
6 of that expertise was used in all sorts of different
7 ways, but we could help prepare, we could create a
8 resilience unit, for example, that would support
9 Scottish Government in its planning and its preparation.
10 We could have a database of relevant expertise across
11 HEIs so it's not just a case of picking out a few
12 individuals to help. There are probably lots of other
13 things we could do to help in this space and I think we
14 would be willing to talk with officials and take that
15 discussion forward.

16 Q. Thank you very much. Professor Macbeth?

17 PROFESSOR PENNY MACBETH: Yes, just to sort of build on
18 that, I absolutely agree. I think a resilience unit so
19 the conversations we got to in the end were happening
20 already and just happened, because that would be good
21 for all of us, but also within that an innovation unit.

22 So one of the really strong legacies that's come out
23 of this is our approach to blended learning, hybrid
24 learning, that many of us have kept in order to do our
25 business in a better way and to augment what we already

84

1 have. In a sense that could be built very strongly into
2 that and could be part of what is seen as a legacy of
3 this.

4 I absolutely concur that the — which is why I'm
5 going to reinforce it — that the consideration about
6 how we work across the four nations I think is vital
7 if — going forward, and consistency of messaging to
8 avoid unnecessary concern, poor health, mental health
9 and wellbeing, but also just that agency for the common
10 good really, I would say. And I think, yes, no, I think
11 that's it really from me.

12 Q. Thank you very much. And finally, Professor Leydecker?
13 PROFESSOR KARL LEYDECKER: So I think to return to the four
14 harms. I think with hindsight, the third harm around
15 societal individual wellbeing was probably
16 underestimated and I think ensuring that that is fully
17 understood, that the impact on wellbeing, mental health,
18 was profound and long-lasting and should not be
19 underestimated and should form a key part of future
20 thinking in relation to pandemics.

21 I think government should not really impose greater
22 restriction on students than on the wider population,
23 unless there's a clear public necessity for this. And I
24 think we felt that probably at one point too many
25 different regulations and bits and pieces and changes

85

1 and chopping that imposed an enormous amount of workload
2 to work out what kind of ventilation, what kind of
3 distancing, what kind of screens and so on. If a
4 broader framework were put in place and then we were to
5 be trusted to operate professionally within that, given
6 the complexity of our organisations, and I think the
7 points we made about, you know, distancing in laboratory
8 settings would be a classic example of that. That was
9 just something that if it had been left to us, within a
10 framework of working safely in laboratory settings, we
11 would have been able to operate perfectly safely and it
12 would have been to the benefit.

13 I think — finally, I think we felt that it would
14 have been helpful if we had had greater access to
15 testing and vaccination, particularly testing. We had a
16 joint centre in the end in Aberdeen which was really
17 helpful. It was a community testing centre as well as a
18 university test centre. But we didn't — we weren't
19 able to get the ability to test students there. You
20 know, the contracts were quite complicated and we
21 weren't allowed to test in a way that would obviously
22 have been of benefit. That would have allowed us to
23 test, students to test before departing for that winter
24 break, which would have been really, really useful. So
25 we had to, you know, put in place all the kinds of

86

1 measures to allow them to be safe in departing.
2 So I think that was probably another key point that
3 we were thinking about in the future around access to
4 testing.

5 Q. Thank you very much.

6 My Lord. I have no further questions, unless your
7 Lordship has any.

8 THE CHAIR: No, I have no questions, but I would like to
9 thank you all for a very stimulating discussion, very
10 clearly and logically set out. I suppose I shouldn't be
11 surprised, that's what universities are for, stimulating
12 our minds and doing it logically.

13 In fact, listening to you took me back — it was a
14 very long time since I was at university, but it did
15 take me back to my experience there. Thank you all very
16 much indeed. That's an end to this morning's hearings.
17 It's this afternoon just. We will be back at 1.30.
18 Thank you all very much.

19 (12.18 pm)

20 (Luncheon adjournment)

21 THE CHAIR: Good afternoon, Ms Stewart.
22 MS STEWART: Good afternoon, my Lord.
23 THE CHAIR: You have a witness for us.
24 MS STEWART: Thank you. I do. Giving evidence this
25 afternoon, my Lord, is Ms Mitchell of Glasgow Caledonian

87

1 University who will give evidence on graduate
2 apprenticeships and placement-based learning.

3 THE CHAIR: Right. Ms Stewart will have some questions for
4 you. On you go Mr Stewart.

5 SUSAN MITCHELL
6 Questions by MS STEWART

7 MS STEWART: Can you please confirm your full name for us
8 Ms Mitchell?

9 A. Susan Victoria Mitchell.

10 Q. And on behalf of Glasgow Caledonian University or
11 Glasgow Caledonian, for short, or GCU, you provided a
12 response to the Inquiry's Rule 8 request for
13 information; is that right?

14 A. I did.

15 Q. My Lord, that is to be found at reference
16 SCI-GCU-000001.

17 Ms Mitchell, you are the Chief Operating Officer and
18 Deputy Vice-chancellor at Glasgow Caledonian; is that
19 correct?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. And how long have you held that role for?

22 A. Over six years.

23 Q. Thank you. Can you tell us a bit about what your role
24 at the university involves, typically, and also in
25 relation to the pandemic period?

88

1 A. Thank you. I manage the vast majority of the
2 professional services functions, so nonacademic
3 functions of the university. But during the pandemic,
4 I was also the COVID lead for the university. So that
5 meant liaising with my counterparts in other Scottish
6 universities with Universities Scotland and, therefore,
7 with the Scottish Government around matters of policy
8 and decision-making.

9 Q. Thank you. And in terms of what we want to ask you
10 about today, apprenticeships, part of that response sets
11 out that Glasgow Caledonian is a leading provider of
12 graduate apprenticeships. Before we go onto that, can
13 you give us an outline of the university as an entity in
14 terms of its size, the number of students and
15 apprenticeships and the types of courses offered.

16 A. Of course, yes. Glasgow Caledonian is the leading and
17 largest modern university in Scotland. We offer a range
18 of programmes across areas like business, accounting,
19 law, health and life sciences, computing and
20 engineering. There are around 23,000 students. It's a
21 mix of home students and international. We're a very
22 large institution.

23 We have — most of our degrees are very much geared
24 towards future employment, so useful degrees that give
25 qualifications that employers are looking for from our

89

1 students, which is most likely why we have 91 per cent
2 of our students, according to the most recent data, are
3 in full-time employment or indeed further study
4 15 months after graduating. We — also it's worth
5 noting that 22 per cent of our students are from the
6 country's 20 per cent most disadvantaged backgrounds.

7 We are the largest nursing provider in Scotland. We
8 are the largest provider of allied health professional
9 education and the largest provider of graduate
10 apprenticeships.

11 Q. Thank you. And indeed it's those three courses, if I
12 can put it that way, that I want to ask you about, the
13 graduate apprenticeships, I beg your pardon, the allied
14 health professions and nursing.

15 First of all, the apprenticeships, what is a
16 graduate apprenticeship?

17 A. So a graduate apprenticeship is when somebody who is
18 already in employment comes into the university in order
19 on a part-time basis so they are working and earning and
20 studying and earning at the same time in order to secure
21 a degree of some description, either an honours degree
22 or a masters degree.

23 Q. And how long does it take to obtain a graduate
24 apprenticeship?

25 A. Typically, four or five years.

90

1 Q. Thank you. And you mention in the Rule 8 response about
2 the academic schools you have within Glasgow Caledonian.
3 Within which of these schools the apprenticeships
4 typically sit?

5 A. So they're in two of the schools, the School of Business
6 and Society and the School of Computing, Engineering and
7 Built Environment.

8 Q. And in terms of the sorts of professions or trades the
9 people studying an apprenticeship can hope to enter, can
10 you give some examples of those?

11 A. Yes, so we would be talking about the construction
12 industry or we might be talking about software
13 development, accounting, business management of some
14 description, AI, data science, those sorts of
15 industries.

16 Q. Thank you. You mentioned at the outset that these
17 apprentices are in full-time employment and attend
18 university part-time. Can you give an idea of how often
19 they attend university? Is it sort of weekly or in
20 blocks of time?

21 A. Usual format is about a day a week, but it does vary
22 from programme to programme, depending on the sorts of
23 industries people are coming from. So we might set it
24 up so they attend for a more protracted period, saw a
25 week at a time, rather than a day a week, but typically

91

1 it is a day a week is the norm.

2 Q. Are we to understand that the format for these
3 apprenticeships means that the practical elements of
4 their training are done while in the workplace and the
5 theoretical elements are done at the university or is
6 there more of a crossover than that?

7 A. That's correct to say, but it's also true that the
8 assessment needs to cover — that the university
9 oversees cuts across both the workplace and the academic
10 side.

11 Q. Thank you. I want to look now with you at the impacts
12 on those apprentices if we think to March 2020 and what
13 we're terming and what's commonly termed lockdown.
14 There will have been some working for their employer and
15 some at university. Can I ask you to describe just in
16 broad terms for us the impacts on these two cohorts,
17 perhaps taking those at university first?

18 A. Yes. So they wouldn't be wholly at university or wholly
19 at their employer. Most likely at the time, there would
20 have been a combination of the two through the week. So
21 the impact was very similar from an academic point of
22 view that it would have been for any undergraduate
23 student. So the students, of course, had to study from
24 them, but of course in most cases they were also working
25 from home those days when they were working for their

92

1 employer, rather than doing their academic study.
 2 Q. And in terms of the impacts they experienced, are they
 3 broadly the same as those doing other practical
 4 subjects, for example?
 5 A. Yes, absolutely. All study moved online. The only
 6 difference would be that for the days when they would
 7 normally have been in their employer, typically, they
 8 obviously couldn't be doing the practical work and
 9 therefore had to be at home.
 10 Some of them of course were furloughed for the
 11 period, a small number were made redundant and so then
 12 there was then another challenge about how do we then
 13 support them to secure alternative employment.
 14 Q. Thank you. We've heard from a panel of college
 15 principals and another panel just this morning
 16 comprising university principals about the impacts on
 17 practical subjects and a little bit about at the impact
 18 on apprentices. Would you say there were more or fewer
 19 negative impacts on apprenticeships, apprentices, I beg
 20 your pardon, because of their link with an employer, for
 21 example?
 22 A. People may see it in different ways. I would argue that
 23 perhaps — well, it might be argued that there was less
 24 of an impact on a graduate apprenticeship individual,
 25 because they had other supports networks. So they had

93

1 the support of the university, they will also have had
 2 the support, in the main, from their employer, but it
 3 will doubtless have varied from individual to
 4 individual.
 5 Q. You set out in your Rule 8 response for us that when the
 6 reopening of campus was permitted, or at least permitted
 7 to a degree, you prioritised those doing practical
 8 courses, did that include apprentices?
 9 A. Yes, and no, depending on the particular programme of
 10 study. It would have — because that practical element
 11 is typically in the workplace. So from our side, from
 12 the academic side, they wouldn't necessarily have been a
 13 priority.
 14 Q. Thank you. In relation to the impacts on apprentices,
 15 you have set this out at various sections of your
 16 Rule 8 response, but if I may focus on what's said at
 17 section 3H, page 15. You have a heading there:
 18 "GCU Graduate Apprenticeships: Insights."
 19 And you set out here the scale of the graduate
 20 apprenticeship offer that you have at Glasgow
 21 Caledonian, 345 apprentices and 200 employers.
 22 A. Hm—hmm.
 23 Q. You say this is offered across seven programmes.
 24 A. Yes.
 25 Q. Are we to understand the programmes as different to the

94

1 schools?
 2 A. Yes, a programme of study. So civil engineering would
 3 be a programme of study, accountancy would be a
 4 programme of study and those are component parts within
 5 a school. So within a school there might be an
 6 undergraduate degree in accountancy, but there is also a
 7 graduate apprenticeship qualification in accountancy.
 8 They run side by side.
 9 Q. In that same section, you explain that the scale of the
 10 apprenticeship therefore made it difficult for the
 11 university to manage on one hand continuity of education
 12 and on the other employer support. Could you explain to
 13 us a bit about the relationship between the university,
 14 the apprentice, the employer and how that works?
 15 A. So we have a dedicated team who recruit GAs, as we call
 16 them, into the university through the employer. So they
 17 work with the employer. At the outset when they're —
 18 typically often helping an employer to define what
 19 skills and qualifications they need to develop to enable
 20 their own workforce moving forward.
 21 So at the very outset, there is a triumvirate
 22 relationship between the student, the university and the
 23 employer. That became quite critical during COVID,
 24 because that team were able to liaise with the employer
 25 and make sure that suitable support was being provided

95

1 to the student, but to make sure that the employer's
 2 needs were being met and whether anything needed to be
 3 flexed in the programme.
 4 One of the things we were able to do really
 5 successfully at the university is flex a GA programme to
 6 meet the needs of the employer, which could of course
 7 have changed during the COVID period. So we were able
 8 to do that through that very careful business
 9 partnership relationship.
 10 Q. Can you tell us a bit more about what that might look
 11 like in terms of what you were able to do? Would it be
 12 to alter the order in which you taught certain component
 13 parts?
 14 A. Thank you, yes. So we very much changed the chronology
 15 of events. Excuse me. So where there might have been a
 16 period of learning followed by a period of placement —
 17 not placement, sorry, that's the incorrect word — by a
 18 period of time in the workplace, if that time in the
 19 workplace couldn't happen, we moved events around so
 20 that some of the academic learning was consolidated at a
 21 period of time when the individual student couldn't go
 22 into the workplace, which is incredibly helpful. It
 23 maybe meant that the sequence wasn't the ideal sequence,
 24 but it meant that the students could continue their
 25 studies and come out the other side of COVID without

96

1 having had a significant detrimental impact.
 2 That negotiation and navigation was quite special
 3 from a graduate apprenticeship point of view and I think
 4 was incredibly helpful for your students.
 5 Q. Should we understand then there wasn't an impact in
 6 terms of delay in terms of qualification or career
 7 progression in that regard?
 8 A. That's correct, yes.
 9 Q. You speak about a large component of the apprentices
 10 during COVID being employed in the construction sector
 11 and you have mentioned today in your evidence about
 12 furlough being a significant feature. What impact or to
 13 what extent did that have an impact on the apprentices?
 14 A. I think for those that were furloughed it was obviously
 15 a very concerning time and one of the things we spent a
 16 lot of time doing as an organisation is providing
 17 reassurance and support. However, furlough wasn't as
 18 challenging as being made redundant and we specifically
 19 needed to support those individuals who couldn't
 20 complete a graduate apprenticeship if they didn't have
 21 an employer.
 22 So actually in that instance, in those instances, we
 23 were able to try and work with the individuals and our
 24 network of employers to find them alternative employment
 25 to enable them to continue with their GA programme,

97

1 hugely successfully.
 2 Q. Thank you. To what extent was redundancy a problem for
 3 the apprentices?
 4 A. It wasn't huge, but of course each and every case is
 5 huge to the individual.
 6 Q. Indeed, and what about furlough, was that a widespread
 7 problem across —
 8 A. It was, it was a reasonably common occurrence.
 9 Q. Thank you. And is that that solely or prominently in
 10 relation to construction or across the piece?
 11 A. I would have to have a look at the numbers to tell you
 12 that, but I could find that information and share it.
 13 Q. Thank you very much. We might come back to you and
 14 invite you to do that, if that's something that wouldn't
 15 be too onerous.
 16 I want to move on to asking you now about allied
 17 health professionals training and their placements, in
 18 particular, and you have mentioned that GCU is the
 19 largest provider of courses relating to allied health
 20 professionals. What sort of allied health professionals
 21 study at the university?
 22 A. So there are 12 AHP, as we call them, disciplines and at
 23 the university — Glasgow Caledonian we offer eight of
 24 those 12. Our three largest programmes are
 25 physiotherapy, occupational therapy and dietetics.

98

1 Q. And am I right to understand that all of these AHP
 2 require to have placement—based learning?
 3 A. Yes, that's correct.
 4 Q. You have set out at section 3D, at the very top of
 5 page 6, that lockdown caused significant impacts on the
 6 practice elements of these AHP courses. Can you explain
 7 a bit about these impacts? For example, did the
 8 placement cease or were they modified in some way?
 9 A. It was a huge impact actually. All of our AHP students
 10 were on placement at the point when lockdown happened.
 11 In the very first instance, they stayed in situ.
 12 I think some other institutions may have withdrawn their
 13 students. We didn't do that on and our working
 14 assumption behind that was that students studying to go
 15 into those professions and once in those professions
 16 they wouldn't be withdrawing, but that was the very
 17 early days.
 18 Thereafter, quite quickly, a few issues emerged.
 19 One was that the individuals that were working alongside
 20 our students on placement were called to the NHS
 21 frontline so they had to come away from their normal
 22 working role and, of course, without them there, the
 23 students can't be on placement.
 24 The second one was that PPE was not provided.
 25 Unlike nursing students where PPE was not provided, PPE

99

1 was not provided to AHP students. And the third element
 2 that arose was that it became clear that there was no
 3 death in service indemnity arrangement in place for AHP
 4 students so they had to be withdrawn from their
 5 placement roles.
 6 Q. Thank you. You set out in the section that — if I can
 7 quote from your Rule 8 response you say:
 8 "In the early days of the pandemic response the
 9 picture was confused. No overall leadership regarding
 10 the decision—making about what to do about student
 11 placements was forthcoming and as a result the
 12 placements were cancelled in dribs and drabs."
 13 Obviously, the picture there painted is one perhaps
 14 of uncertainty. Can you explain the impact on the
 15 students and the university of that situation.
 16 A. Yes, it was a deeply uncertain time. A lot of thought
 17 went in very quickly to what we would — do not what we
 18 would do, but what would happen nationally in terms of
 19 AHP students, which of course when they needed to come
 20 out of placement would have caused significant worry to
 21 your students. What does this mean for my studies?
 22 What has it mean for the timeline of my studying and
 23 acquiring my qualification and what's going to happen to
 24 me? Also a sequential impact for our academic staff who
 25 had to act very quickly to find an alternative solution.

100

1 What actually happened in practice is that is the
2 HCPC, this is the regulatory for AHPs — too many
3 acronyms, I'm so sorry — they made a decision so allow
4 us to move away — the students could move away from
5 having to complete a fixed number of hours in order to
6 secure their accreditation towards being able to
7 demonstrate competency.

8 That was a hugely helpful and incredibly important
9 moment. What that enabled was our academic colleagues
10 to shift the placements into a simulated environment in
11 the university. Extremely difficult to do, because it's
12 not normal practice, or there is an element of that, but
13 it's not normal practice on that scale. And to
14 illustrate the point, there were 60,000 hours of
15 physiotherapy placement time that were lost to our
16 students and those hours — not the hours, because of
17 the regulated change — but the learning experience and
18 the demonstration of competency had to be shifted into
19 the university environment. Completely different from
20 anything that had been delivered before.

21 So it was a huge impact and I think it would be fair
22 to say that while many, many extraordinary things were
23 delivered by my colleagues during the COVID period, this
24 is one of those ones that really strikes me as being
25 something quite special. And if I may, I would say they

101

1 did it for two reasons. They did it to make sure that
2 our students could continue their learning and feel
3 supported, but they also did it because if they had not
4 done so, the critical supply pipeline to the NHS would
5 have gone. So the actions they took meant that that
6 pipeline was sustained.

7 Q. Thank you. In terms of how the decision to work in that
8 way in terms of their placements came about, you mention
9 at section 3D at the foot of page 5 that you worked with
10 partners such as health boards, for example, to adapt
11 and innovate placements.

12 Can you tell us a little bit more about the
13 partnership working that was necessary. Clearly it
14 wasn't merely or rather simply the university and the
15 accreditation bodies, but there was other partners.

16 A. Yes, there were other partners involved and that was
17 about distilling what's essential. Some of that was
18 about front-loading theoretical learning where that
19 needed to be done to enable placements to happen. A
20 little bit like the graduate apprenticeships where we
21 had to change the chronology of events, colleagues in
22 this department had to do that, but also understanding
23 over — bearing in mind of course nobody knew how long
24 this was going to go on for and it's up to a four year
25 programme, depending on what year the student was in

102

1 when lockdown happened, it was then a case of sequencing
2 what learning needs to happen when, what placement needs
3 to happen when or what placement experience needs to
4 take place.

5 So there was an enormous amount of negotiation,
6 engagement, discussion, reframing, changing learning,
7 changing assessments. It all had to be reshaped. It's
8 almost impossible to describe the scale of change that
9 had to be quickly enacted in a matter of weeks to enable
10 those students to be able to continue.

11 Q. What was the impact on the students of having their
12 placements modified in that? Way was it largely
13 positive? As you say, it permitted them to continue
14 with their studies.

15 A. I think after it had been initially very disconcerting,
16 for many students this was reassuring because everything
17 in our power was being done to enable them to continue
18 successfully so that's really positive. I don't think
19 there's ever a substitute necessarily for placement
20 experience and the fact that we could get students
21 through the competency demonstration was incredibly
22 important, but maybe wasn't the experience they had
23 expected and I guess that will have inevitable had an
24 impact when they come to the workplace.

25 We have been thinking particularly there about not

103

1 so much competence, but confidence.

2 Q. You have set out a little bit about this already. At
3 the foot of page six you say:

4 "In some programmes clinical module leaders were
5 responsible for creating alternative clinical
6 assessments and exams in digital format."

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. You have been focusing on the placement so far.
9 I understand from your Rule 8 these were patient based
10 but not in a clinical setting. Can you explain a bit
11 more for us about at the assessments of AHPs?

12 A. Yes. So the assessments that would have taken place
13 during the placement period could not longer — it's not
14 just the placement itself that couldn't happen, the
15 assessment during the placement couldn't happen so that
16 also went into the simulated environment, which meant
17 that from a design point of view and a pedagogical point
18 of view, it had to be changed. The whole thing had to
19 be reconstructed from the beginning.

20 Q. And was that done by simulating a clinical environment
21 within the university?

22 A. Yes, it was.

23 Q. Thank you. And was that done online or was it —

24 A. No, it would have been in person.

25 Q. You have set out and you alluded to it a short while ago

104

1 when you mentioned confidence and competence, in your
 2 Rule 8 response you note that one consequence of the
 3 various impacts on placement-based learning and an
 4 assessment is we now have what you term "clinically
 5 naive students" and there is at least a perception of
 6 there being a COVID cohort. Can you explain a little
 7 bit more to the Inquiry about that?
 8 A. I think it's fair to say that these students have had a
 9 very different experience. It's impossible for me, I
 10 don't work in that field, to directly compare and
 11 contrast the two, but it seems logical and my colleagues
 12 tell me that "clinically naive" is an expression that is
 13 used. I'm not sure I particularly love it, because the
 14 competence has been demonstrated and I think it is
 15 really important that we stress that.
 16 But I can only begin to imagine that if it were me,
 17 I would feel maybe less confident in that setting.
 18 I think that's a human feeling, human response to the
 19 situation.
 20 Q. Thank you. You mention in your response also that the
 21 university's ability to deliver placement-based learning
 22 to the allied health professionals hasn't quite returned
 23 to pre-pandemic levels. Can you explain to us why that
 24 is?
 25 A. Yes, there are two reasons for this. The first one is

105

1 that post-COVID recovery meant people were distracted
 2 and the ability to offer placements to that scale became
 3 more difficult.
 4 The second one is that because of COVID the way that
 5 some of these AHP services, think of them as outpatient
 6 clinics is possibly a useful way of thinking about them,
 7 very often the form in which those are delivered in
 8 society fundamentally changed, for example, moving
 9 online. Therefore, as a consequence, there are fewer
 10 placements available and that has a significant
 11 consequence for — partly for the university, but the
 12 longer-term consequences is for the NHS in terms of the
 13 supply pipeline again.
 14 Q. In terms of the impact on the university, is that
 15 because you can't recruit these students to these
 16 courses?
 17 A. Yes, when we recruit students to these programmes, we
 18 recruit numbers only when we are certain we can provide
 19 the placement experience. So all of that placement
 20 planning, four years hence, has to be carefully mapped
 21 out. So if we can't secure the placements, we can't
 22 offer them the learning hours they need to secure their
 23 accreditation so we can't grow our numbers on the back
 24 of that and we therefore know there is a gap between the
 25 numbers we can recruit into the university, the numbers

106

1 we can train, develop and then supply into the
 2 workforce. There is a shortage.
 3 Q. Are we to understand from that then the university
 4 hasn't continued with the format of delivering this
 5 alternative placement model that it had during the
 6 pandemic, university doesn't do that anymore?
 7 A. Yes, the competency was a temporary arrangement, but
 8 we're back to hours.
 9 Q. Thank you. I want to turn now with you to looking at
 10 the third aspect of your evidence today and that's the
 11 mobilisation of the nursing students to supernumerary
 12 paid placements. But before I turn to that, I want to
 13 ask you a bit about redeployment of allied health
 14 professionals. You mentioned that there was
 15 redeployment of those already in the workforce and the
 16 impact that had on students who couldn't shadow or work
 17 alongside them.
 18 But you have set out in your Rule 8 response that
 19 certain AHPs were redeployed to frontline roles and I'm
 20 thinking in particular of the Vision Centre and I'm not
 21 sure whether or not — perhaps you will explain whether
 22 that is an allied health profession or not, but that
 23 they at the Vision Centre were redeployed to a frontline
 24 role. Can you explain a bit more about that
 25 redeployment for us?

107

1 A. You're right. Vision science is not an allied health
 2 profession. However, it is delivery of health in the
 3 environment. It's a different regulator. It's the GOC,
 4 the General Optical Council, who regulate the vision
 5 sciences.
 6 At Glasgow Caledonian, we have a Vision Centre, by
 7 which I mean it's a large optometry practice, which
 8 meant that our students would still continue — not
 9 continue all the way through. It was closed. It was
 10 the very first thing we reopened on campus at the
 11 request of the Scottish Government, if my memory serves
 12 me right, and that meant that our students could then
 13 work in the Vision Centre alongside our staff and get
 14 that experience that they would have got before, but at
 15 a much earlier stage than perhaps it was recreated for
 16 the AHP students.
 17 So that was a positive experience and they were
 18 provided with PPE and, in due course, lateral flow
 19 tests, lateral flow tests being something that weren't
 20 provided to AHP at an earlier stage. So that actually
 21 worked very well. So it is a proper clinical practice
 22 that exists on campus that members of the public can
 23 book an appointment and go to. Perhaps not that
 24 well-known, but it's an interesting facet of what we
 25 deliver.

108

1 Q. And pre-pandemic would those students have had
2 placements in that Vision Centre?
3 A. Yes, effectively .
4 Q. And in terms of their --- were they paid placements they
5 had when they were redeployed?
6 A. No, no, absolutely not. When you make an appointment to
7 go to the centre, you are seen by a qualified clinical
8 practitioner and a student side by side.
9 Q. Thank you. And that continued in the same way during
10 the pandemic.
11 A. It closed for a period of time and then when we were
12 able to reopen the Vision Centre, that restarted .
13 Q. Thank you. I want to move on now to asking you about
14 the impact on nursing students and at page 10 you set
15 out some of the issues affecting these nursing students.
16 I want to go through the deployment of these student
17 nurses at various stages of their training to work
18 within the NHS. You say here that:
19 "Year 2 ... "
20 If we can take that first of all :
21 "Year 2 nursing students from all four fields were
22 recruited to work as AFC Band 3 nurses in health boards
23 and care homes."
24 I wonder if, first of all , you can tell us what's
25 meant by all four fields ?

109

1 A. I won't get them all right , but, for example, adult
2 nursing is a field of nursing, child nursing and so on,
3 mental health nursing and I can't remember what the
4 fourth is .
5 Q. Thank you. And in terms of the AFC, what does that
6 stand for or mean?
7 A. Can you tell me where it is in the ---
8 Q. I beg your pardon, sorry. It 's at page 10 and the
9 section entitled "Year 2 nurses". I 'll just find it in
10 my hard copy first and we'll put it on the screen in
11 front of you.
12 I beg your pardon. I think I may have the page
13 reference wrong. Please, bear with me. Sorry it 's page
14 12. It 's about halfway down entitled "Issue 1" and you
15 called it mobilisation of student nurses into
16 non-supernumerary paid placements."
17 And this is the first line there:
18 "Year 2 nursing students... "
19 A. Yes, I see it. I can't immediately recollect what AFC
20 stands for. I will find out and confirm back to you.
21 Q. That's fine. That's something we can look into and
22 perhaps I don't know if you are able to explain to us
23 about what "Band 3" means in respect of the nursing
24 profession? Is that the entry level upon graduation?
25 A. Yes.

110

1 Q. Thank you. Did the student nurses have both theoretical
2 and practical component parts to do after or in year 2
3 and following?
4 A. Yes, there was both, theoretical and practical . Where
5 students, for example, year 2 students were being
6 redeployed into the workforce as a Band 3 a nurse, we
7 front-loaded some theoretical aspects before they went
8 out, so we made sure they were prepared for anything
9 they might encounter. And then their time they spent
10 redeployed to the frontline counted as equivalent hours
11 in clinical placement terms.
12 Q. And in terms of the theoretical elements of their
13 training, did that --- when they were redeployed, did
14 that continue or was it exclusively front-loaded as you
15 say?
16 A. No, the theoretical side did not continue. They were
17 employed, that's what they did and then it was only when
18 that came to an end that happen they went back to the
19 theory side. That said, they were supported throughout
20 by nursing colleagues who provided emotional, technical
21 support and advice via a helpline , so there was a
22 connection back to the university .
23 Q. In terms of what their placements on Year 2 would look
24 like pre-pandemic, can you explain what that may be in
25 terms of contact with university and supervision, for

111

1 example?
2 A. Yes. So, yes, a student goes on placement. They would
3 be supervised in the workplace. There would also be
4 regular contacts with the institution throughout and the
5 assessments would be reviewed, so it would be a sort of
6 a three-way conversation, if you like. This was
7 slightly different in that they were redeployed to the
8 frontline , so it wasn't assessment in the same way. So
9 it was tantamount to working in a conventional role.
10 Q. You're saying they were given the same level of
11 supervision as ordinarily ?
12 A. Yes, absolutely, they weren't left unsupervised, I
13 should be clear about that. They were absolutely
14 supervised in the workplace.
15 Q. Thank you. And you speak again about the year 3 nursing
16 students that they could be bank nurses between April
17 and September 2020 and they did their practice learning
18 environment assessments during that time. Can you tell
19 us what the practical learning environment assessments
20 are?
21 A. In terms of the Band 4 nurses?
22 Q. Yes, just in terms of --- well, I think it relates to the
23 Band 2, 3 and 4. They have practical learning and
24 environment assessments.
25 A. Yes.

112

1 Q. I think you mentioned seven and in year 4 they have
2 eight.
3 A. Yes.
4 Q. Can you explain a little bit about what these are?
5 A. I don't think I'm qualified to comment on that.
6 I would — I could describe in broadbrush terms, but
7 that's not my field at all.
8 Q. Thank you. We can explore that elsewhere. And again,
9 for want year 3 students, is it the same situation as
10 you've described for year 2 in terms of support from the
11 university and the employer?
12 A. Exactly the same, yes.
13 Q. You mentioned that students in year 2 and 3 were able to
14 opt in or out of these paid placements and did they by
15 and large tend to opt in or opt out?
16 A. Most students opted in. On the one hand, this could be
17 seen as a fabulous learning experience, quite unique for
18 many students. However, some couldn't opt in, not
19 because they didn't want to, but because's they had
20 circumstances that prevented them from doing so, for
21 example, somebody in their household who was shielding.
22 So that was tricky for those individual students who
23 effectively that practice learning phase of their
24 studies ceased at that point and then had to be returned
25 to later.

113

1 Q. Thank you. And for those who opted out, what was the
2 impact of that on their learning?
3 A. So a material impact and it varied from student to
4 student, depending on where they were on their learning
5 journey, but for some students it was up to a two-year
6 impact. Because unlike the AHPs, where there was a
7 shift from regulator from hours to competency, in
8 nursing it remained hours, so unless you had done the
9 hours, you couldn't get your accreditation. So, yes, a
10 material impact for students who couldn't go through
11 that experience.
12 Q. Did they experience a delay in qualifying?
13 A. Yes, they would have been delayed by anything up to two
14 years.
15 Q. Okay. And again, I notice you mentioned in terms of
16 opting out that was open to the year 2 and 3 students.
17 A. Yes.
18 Q. What about the year 4 students, were they able to opt
19 out?
20 A. Well, they're quite different, because they had reached
21 the end of their studies effectively, so they were able
22 to graduate and go into employment.
23 Q. So they had finished the end of their theoretical
24 training in year 4 and —
25 A. Yes.

114

1 Q. Thank you. Again, in terms of the practice learning
2 environment assessments and you might not be able to
3 answer this, but you mentioned that the year 4 students
4 undertook this while on placement and they then became
5 fully registered nurses. I don't know if there was any
6 impact arising from doing the practical learning
7 environment assessment in realtime while on placement
8 during the pandemic.
9 A. I couldn't comment on the experience, but I can comment
10 that there was no delay to any of them completing their
11 studies because of this.
12 Q. Thank you. I want to ask you a little bit about broader
13 impacts on the students and on the university. You
14 mention there about the simulated placements that you
15 required to offer and you mention at page 7 about
16 halfway down that there was a financial cost to the
17 university. I wonder if you can expand on that a little
18 bit more for us.
19 A. Yes, so because moving — if we're talking about the
20 simulated placements, we're back on AHPs, because moving
21 the placements into a simulated on campus environment
22 where they don't normally happen that was a significant
23 endeavour that is not part of our normal business model,
24 certainly not at that scale. Therefore, we needed
25 staffing, resourcing, space, facilities to enable that

115

1 to happen. So there was a significant cost.
2 I think, if memory serves me correctly, in
3 April 2021 there was Scottish Government funding
4 provided to support this, but that was 12 months in,
5 over 12 months in, and we at Glasgow Caledonian used
6 that funding to recruit clinical educators to support
7 that process. That meant that the academic staff could
8 be freed up to focus on the assessment side of the
9 operation. And the reason we did that was to increase
10 the throughput of students, because there was a rate
11 determining step happening here. We couldn't get the
12 throughput to be high enough to meet the needs of
13 students in terms of their four-year learning journey.
14 So this additional funding that was provided in
15 April 2021 was incredibly helpful to make sure we could
16 up the throughput and get those students through the
17 system.
18 Q. Thank you. In terms of — we have spoken a bit about
19 the AHPs and the placement-based learning and you have
20 explained the redeployment of some of those
21 professionals they work alongside. I wanted to ask you
22 a little bit about how the change and how these
23 professionals worked once they returned to their
24 ordinary duties? For example, you mentioned that they
25 undertook telephone consultations and can you tell us a

116

1 bit about how their duties changed and how that impacted
 2 the students working alongside them?
 3 A. Well, I suppose twofold. One is that the far more
 4 patient facing interventions were not face to face.
 5 Therefore, it's a different experience for the student.
 6 Also, there was a diminution in community-based
 7 placements because of people not wanting to share
 8 vehicles. So it's an obvious thing, but it's not
 9 immediately obvious, but actually you can see how that
 10 would arise.
 11 I'm trying to think what other impacts there would
 12 be. I think the main thing is the volume of placements,
 13 the fact that the methodology changed, but also the
 14 other thing was that we did a lot of peer-assisted
 15 learning so we would put more than one student into an
 16 environment and there would be peer-assisted learning
 17 that took place, structured. That had to stop because
 18 of physical distancing and the requirement to have a
 19 reduced number of people in any one relocation.
 20 So it changed on a number of different dimensions.
 21 Q. And in terms of the assessments of these students, would
 22 that ordinarily involve observing them conducting such
 23 consultations, for example?
 24 A. Yes, exactly.
 25 Q. And how was that impacted?

117

1 A. It meant the pace — the pace that that could be done at
 2 and, again, for us — in an organisation of our scale,
 3 it is about volume of throughput. So that slowed things
 4 down.
 5 Q. In terms of the move to online learning and teaching and
 6 the increased role of telephone consultations and IT in
 7 the conduct of these professionals' work, we're thinking
 8 about digital inclusion, it's something the Inquiry has
 9 heard quite a bit about, is that something that impacted
 10 the students that you work with, particularly the allied
 11 health professionals and the nurses?
 12 A. Well, it impacted across all student populations, not
 13 just those. We worked very hard as an institution to
 14 make sure that students were not negatively impacted by
 15 lack of access to digital technology, resources, be that
 16 the actual kit or quite often wi-fi access, those sorts
 17 of things.
 18 So we put an enormous amount — as well as Scottish
 19 Government funding that was provided to a degree to
 20 support that, we put additional funding in to make sure
 21 no student was impacted. So any student, regardless of
 22 which portfolio they're in, could contact us for support
 23 to support that. It's — Scottish Government called it
 24 "digital poverty". We didn't call it that. We called
 25 it "digital inclusion".

118

1 Q. Is that something the students did in large numbers?
 2 Was it a widespread problem, would you say?
 3 A. It was a very widespread problem. We did two surveys of
 4 our students I think in the May of 2020 and the November
 5 of 2020 to understand what they were facing. Some of
 6 it — it wasn't all digital challenges. Very often if
 7 they're in the home environment, there are plenty of
 8 other people in the home environment, therefore, finding
 9 a quiet enough space in which to work was a significant
 10 problem, which is why we prioritised reopening spaces on
 11 campus that were socially distanced to enable them to
 12 return when they really needed to. And we worked with
 13 students to understand their individual needs so we
 14 prioritised those who really needed it the most.
 15 But certainly from a digital point of view we
 16 provided hundreds and hundreds of pieces of kit to
 17 enable students to continue their studies, without which
 18 they would not have been able to continue.
 19 Q. And in terms of the two surveys, you conducted one in
 20 May quite early on in the pandemic and November.
 21 A. Hm—hmm.
 22 Q. Did you see that problem reduce in terms of its
 23 severity?
 24 A. It did reduce. I would say it took time for students to
 25 necessarily grasp, feel comfortable, understand that

119

1 they could come forward for support. That was quite a
 2 slow process. It's quite a different thing. We had
 3 never done anything like this before. No university, to
 4 my knowledge, had done anything quite like this before,
 5 so it took a while to role that out.
 6 I think a lot of students struggled by. Trying to
 7 write an essay on a phone is no easy. A lot of students
 8 struggled by thinking this is just a short-term issue
 9 and of course eventually over time we needed to
 10 provide — we always provided alternatives, but
 11 eventually more came forward seeking alternative
 12 support.
 13 Q. Thank you. You've mentioned there working from them and
 14 online learning from home and you mention in your Rule 8
 15 response and you alluded to it just there about the
 16 impact of the lack of space and I know you had a number
 17 of students who were in the halls of residence. You
 18 highlight that in your Rule 8 response.
 19 Can you talk a little bit about the impacts on those
 20 two cohorts, those who were within halls in terms of
 21 their access to an environment to work in and then also
 22 those who perhaps were living elsewhere, either with
 23 family or in shared accommodation, for example?
 24 A. So we have worked incredibly hard as an institution to
 25 understand what the individual needs are of our

120

1 students.

2 In halls, in particular, the one thing I would want
3 to highlight, quite apart from I am sure my colleagues
4 who were in earlier today have talked about the impact
5 on students in halls, but at Glasgow Caledonian we also
6 have the largest cohort in Scotland of care experienced
7 students in our university. They don't all live in
8 halls, but a significant number do. So when
9 universities were required to close, you've already said
10 earlier what you mean by close, for many students, this
11 was their home, they couldn't go home, this was their
12 home, and so therefore — and they were isolated when we
13 were in lockdown, not being able to work and that had
14 particular impacts for students who rely on part-time
15 employment to pay for their student accommodations and
16 they were furloughed or zero hours and had no hours
17 available.

18 That's why we were the first university in Scotland
19 to remove charges for university accommodation to all
20 our students. We took that decision very early, because
21 we knew that if we didn't do that there were a number of
22 students who would probably have to leave university
23 and that wouldn't be a good successful outcome. So that
24 was an early decision. We did it for everybody, not
25 just for me certain individuals. So it was a material

121

1 impact and providing wellbeing support of all kinds,
2 whether they were just isolating or whether they
3 actually had COVID as well, providing that support for
4 your students was significant.

5 Now, there was a second part to your question. I
6 can't recall it.

7 Q. It was those who don't live within halls of residence
8 and perhaps you have alluded to earlier on today the
9 access to space to work.

10 A. Thank you, yes. The vast majority of our students at
11 Glasgow Caledonian don't live within the halls of
12 residence, because they live in the local community. So
13 a significant portion of our students live within ten
14 miles of the Glasgow campus. Therefore, for many of
15 them they are living with their families. There may
16 have been other adults in the household also having to
17 work from the home environment. There may have been
18 children needing to do their schooling from home and
19 there may have only been one device that they were
20 sharing between them. So we took the opportunity to
21 survey those students to make contact with them to
22 understand what their needs were and to support them
23 wherever we could.

24 We took a very openminded approach to what is it we
25 can do to enable you to succeed.

122

1 Q. The impacts on mental health and wellbeing was something
2 that I wanted to ask you about as well and you have
3 mentioned it a bit there. Can you describe some of the
4 mental health impacts, first of all, on the staff?

5 A. Generally —

6 Q. Generally.

7 A. — on the staff?

8 Huge impacts. I think probably it's only in
9 hindsight that we really understand that. When I have
10 been reflecting on the COVID experience in preparation
11 for this Inquiry, I found that I was more struck now by
12 what was achieved then than I was at the time, possibly
13 because we were simply coping. When I talk to staff
14 about what they did and what they achieved, I'm deeply
15 struck by how much they did just off their own bats.
16 They just did what they needed to do to support the
17 students, which is actually quite astonishing.

18 So they did things they wouldn't ordinarily do.
19 They did things in timelines they wouldn't ordinarily
20 do. They provided different methodologies, different
21 forms of access, different forms of mental health and
22 wellbeing support. How we cared for students, it's a
23 central part of what we are as an organisation and not
24 every student needed the same support. So we really
25 worked hard to make sure that we aligned the needs and

123

1 the support requirements, but I simply am astonished at
2 what my colleagues achieved during that time.

3 When I look back now and I think about, in
4 particular, nursing colleagues, it was all colleagues,
5 but nursing colleagues and allied health professional
6 colleagues that we have talked about here today, what
7 they achieved was nothing short of miraculous and we are
8 incredibly proud of that, but we have moved on and
9 reflecting on it has been incredibly useful for us.

10 Q. In terms of the impacts on staff, you have explained
11 about the pivot to online learning, did that impact them
12 in relation to their workload?

13 A. Yes, hugely. So a significantly increased workload and
14 for other staff who might do research, because a lot of
15 staff obviously teach and do research, if they would
16 have been doing research during that period, that went
17 on to the back burner. So there has been all sorts of
18 consequences arising for staff.

19 One of the initiatives we did was a post-COVID
20 research reboot to try and give staff an opportunity
21 where they hadn't been able to conduct research,
22 sometimes because of COVID itself or sometimes because
23 of what they had to do for us to support students during
24 that period, we have had to rethink how can we now get
25 them back on track. So the impacts were far reaching

124

1 and very diverse.

2 Q. Thank you. You've mentioned a bit already about the
3 impacts on students, but if I can ask you mentioned in
4 connection with digital inclusion that you had ran some
5 surveys and that had brought to your attention the
6 nature and extent of the issues students faced.

7 Was anything similar done in connection with mental
8 health impacts or how did you become aware that was an
9 issue?

10 A. Yes. So my colleagues in our student life directorate
11 who work extremely closely with students in all sorts of
12 guises, including mental health wellbeing and
13 counselling, would have ingathered a huge amount of
14 information about the number of students reaching out
15 for additional support, not just during lockdown, that
16 was a significant factor, but afterwards as well in
17 terms of the longer-term getting back on track with
18 their studies, reconnecting with the institution. For
19 some students coming back to campus.

20 We know that one — this is a generalisation so I'm
21 slightly hesitant to generalise, but we know one cohort
22 of students actually benefitted from lockdown were some
23 students with disabilities found it easier to engage in
24 their studies by being able to study from home. Coming
25 back to campus presented new challenges and that

125

1 manifests in lots of different ways.

2 So the support that was needed was not just for that
3 fixed period. It's a long term ongoing support
4 endeavour.

5 Q. You have mentioned there about disproportionate impacts
6 on those who are disabled and you have also mentioned
7 care experience. I wanted to ask you about
8 disproportionate impacts, if we can take on different
9 cohorts. We'll take the students, first of all.

10 Did you see disproportionate impacts in connection
11 with those who were living in poverty or you have
12 mentioned the disabled already?

13 A. We did and colleagues worked really hard to adapt
14 whatever was needed for them, but actually the main
15 difficulty was getting to understand what is it the
16 students need. As a general rule, they don't shout for
17 help always, many do, but often they don't, particularly
18 if they have not shouted for help before and are not in
19 the system. So colleagues did a lot of reaching out to
20 students to enquire to seek to understand, rather
21 than — so there was a pull as much as anything, not
22 just the push factors.

23 So it varied. The experience varied hugely from
24 cohort to cohort, from programme to programme, and from
25 different dimensions that you describe, be that

126

1 disability, care experience, whatever. A hugely
2 variable experience. This was not a single
3 one-size-fits-all situation, so the level of nuance
4 we've needed to apply then and since in how we support
5 our students has been extraordinary.

6 Q. In terms of the disproportionate impacts, we have heard
7 a bit about the impact on international students. Does
8 your university have a significant number of
9 international students?

10 A. Yes, we do, and our international student population
11 grew significantly during COVID and has remained very
12 high.

13 Q. And would you say that they were disproportionately
14 impacted as a cohort of students or is it broadly the
15 same as the rest of the student body?

16 A. It was a very different experience, so I would imagine
17 you have already heard that when learning was online for
18 a lot of international students who could remain in
19 their home country and still study, that was quite
20 helpful to them, they didn't have the added cost of
21 coming to the UK, but of course that has gone and now
22 they need to be in the UK and comply with all UKVI
23 requirements, which means attending classes and living
24 where they say they're living and we monitor and manage
25 that as an institution, so I think the impact was

127

1 variable across the piece, depending again on their
2 programme of study.

3 Q. Thank you. In relation to the disproportionate impacts
4 on certain cohorts of staff, I wonder if there are any
5 particular cohorts of staff in terms of their discipline
6 or their socioeconomic situation that makes them
7 disproportionately impacted?

8 A. Yes, well, there will be, absolutely. I think staff who
9 are delivering programmes that have more practical
10 aspects who needed to be on campus to enable that to
11 happen, and I have mentioned a few here today but ones
12 we might not have mentioned, for example, would be
13 specialist computing programs where they use typically
14 high-spec kit that isn't typically available in the home
15 environment where students needed to come onto campus
16 and then therefore the academic colleagues needed to be
17 on campus too. There are impacts there that aren't
18 immediately obvious from an external point of view that
19 we needed to manage. Now, there were things we were
20 able to do to help that but depending on what programme
21 you're teaching, you might be able to continue online
22 quite happily, say if it's social science or something
23 versus a more technical programme that requires
24 specialist equipment.

25 Q. Thank you, and you have mentioned the impact on staff in

128

1 relation to research and that some of them because of
 2 the workload had to stop their research activities . Can
 3 you tell us a bit more broadly about the impact on
 4 research?
 5 A. I'm not sure I can give you a general thing because it
 6 does vary from discipline to discipline . One of the
 7 things to know is that at Glasgow Caledonian we did a
 8 huge amount of research connected to COVID. So that
 9 research continued. We have a huge health research
 10 profile which is incredibly important. Other research,
 11 depending on whether it is lab-based research which had
 12 to stop or whether it's desk-based research that could
 13 continue in the home environment, it varied enormously
 14 across the institution .
 15 Q. Thank you. I wanted to ask you about ongoing impacts
 16 and some of the things you've mentioned there perhaps
 17 whether or not they are still ongoing impacts. You
 18 mentioned disabled students. Are they still able to
 19 learn remotely or are they all back on campus?
 20 A. They are largely back on campus. We still do some
 21 remote learning but we constrain that to a fairly
 22 moderate level so that students are getting the wider
 23 student experience.
 24 Q. And in terms of mental health impacts, are there ongoing
 25 aspects of that for students and staff?

129

1 A. There are. There has been a significant growth in all
 2 institutions on mental health incidences, if you like,
 3 that's not the right word, but requests for support,
 4 let's call it, for mental health, can't put that down
 5 entirely to COVID but there was a significant uptick at
 6 that point in time and that has continued since and
 7 particularly mental health and other disabilities,
 8 individuals presenting with more than one, so managing
 9 several conditions at once. I'm not sure of the precise
 10 number but I want to say something in the region of 22
 11 per cent or 24 per cent of new students report some form
 12 of a disability of some description. That's a very
 13 significant number.
 14 Q. In terms of new students, I wanted to ask you about new
 15 intakes and ongoing impacts perhaps for the cohort of
 16 the students who were in school or perhaps in college
 17 immediately prior --- or during COVID, sorry, and are now
 18 at university, has there been a discernible impact on
 19 that cohort?
 20 A. Yes, I think there has been because their whole learning
 21 experience has been disrupted so their expectations are
 22 different, the assessment methodologies, as you well
 23 know, were different over the piece, so actually the
 24 dynamic has shifted. We found students looking to have
 25 less dwell time on campus which does most definitely

130

1 impact the broader student experience. We also have
 2 students very keen for their time on campus to be
 3 consolidated and a lot of that is because they're
 4 seeking part-time employment for the rest of the week to
 5 support them in their studies. So it manifests in many,
 6 many different ways and none of that I see changing in
 7 the near future.
 8 Q. Has there been a discernible impact on the student's
 9 social skills, for example, perhaps what can be termed
 10 their emotional resilience?
 11 A. I think my colleagues in student life would say, yes,
 12 there has, but there certainly has been a clear impact
 13 in more students reaching out for support ---
 14 Q. Thank you, and you have said you're not sure if that can
 15 be attributed to COVID or otherwise ---
 16 A. Yes.
 17 Q. --- but you notice an uptick in that?
 18 A. Yes.
 19 Q. I want to ask you just briefly about communication with
 20 the Scottish Government. You explained earlier in your
 21 evidence about you being the COVID lead for the
 22 university and that involved engagement with the
 23 government. Were the impacts that you've articulated
 24 for us today and also in your written response to the
 25 Inquiry, were they relayed to the government at the time

131

1 of their decision-making?
 2 A. They were, repeatedly. Sometimes decisions were made
 3 and we knew at the same point in time when it was
 4 released to the public; sometimes we had a little bit of
 5 relevance notice on decisions that were being made; at
 6 every single opportunity --- and I forget precisely but
 7 I want to say we met them weekly, I think that's what we
 8 did, we met so often --- we continually sought to help
 9 government officials to understand the actual impact of
 10 their decisions rather than their perception or their
 11 anticipated impact of the decisions, and that was quite
 12 tough-going, because it's a very nuanced business and
 13 there were quite a lot of assumptions made about what
 14 students are, who they are, how they work, the sort of
 15 environments that they live in, what they want to get
 16 out of their studies and we had to work as a collective,
 17 and we did work incredibly hard, to help officials
 18 understand, "If you do this, this is the consequence".
 19 Now, sometimes we were able to get --- I wouldn't say
 20 decisions changed, that would be going too far, but we
 21 were able to influence decisions at a reasonably early
 22 stage before things were done that were going to have a
 23 catastrophic effect, but not always.
 24 Q. Thank you, and the Inquiry will of course look at
 25 decision-making and implementation so it's helpful for

132

1 us to know that the impacts were relayed at the time.
 2 Just in closing, and I'm finishing earlier than I
 3 anticipated, are there any other key lessons Glasgow
 4 Caledonian University thinks should be applied I suppose
 5 to try to mitigate the impacts and you mention the
 6 ongoing impacts?
 7 A. For us it would be about talking to universities and
 8 understanding exactly what the impact is of every
 9 decision that's made, and not just the immediate impact
 10 but the longer-term consequences. Very often we felt
 11 that students were stigmatized in the thinking about
 12 what needed to be done and then we find ourselves in a
 13 situation where the pipeline to the NHS is affected.
 14 There are serious long term consequences to what
 15 happened that we sought to influence very robustly
 16 throughout the COVID experience. I think where we felt
 17 listened to, we were --- and we were able to influence,
 18 that's really positive. Not being able to influence was
 19 extremely frustrating. And there were times for sure
 20 when decisions were made that we were worried were going
 21 to have a catastrophic effect on students. Some of them
 22 were quite --- some of them were quite awful and we had
 23 to push back very sure firmly indeed so that things
 24 weren't implemented that were planned to be implemented.
 25 For example, we were briefed in December of 2020 that

133

1 there was consideration that students wouldn't be
 2 allowed home for Christmas and our strong advice to
 3 government officials was, "Do not do that, it will be
 4 catastrophic for the mental health and wellbeing of our
 5 students to leave them wherever they are in Scotland,
 6 away from home, not with their families potentially in
 7 distress, they will be very vulnerable". And that
 8 decision was changed, which was really positive, before
 9 the students became aware, but then of course the
 10 students came home and only after they had come home
 11 they were told they couldn't just go back. That was
 12 quite tough and engaging with that on a very human
 13 level, as a parent with a student at university, that
 14 was really very difficult. We worked extremely hard as
 15 a collective. That's one example of hundreds of
 16 examples. We worked extremely hard to try and make
 17 those points and it wasn't always straightforward so
 18 it's for me some of the --- I know we're not here to talk
 19 about decisions, I understand that, but if we're talking
 20 about impacts, references to class sizes of 30 --- you've
 21 probably covered this already earlier on today --- that
 22 being the maximum class size you can have without
 23 thinking about the space, the environment, the learning
 24 experience, allowing institutions to apply principles
 25 and making sensible decisions. Instead it was terribly

134

1 prescriptive and that without a doubt impacted on our
 2 student's learning experience because we were deeply
 3 restricted on what we could brick back to campus when we
 4 were allowed to bring things back to campus for a very
 5 protracted period. I don't know how well understood
 6 this is generally but it was exceptionally controlled so
 7 we weren't free to make sensible decisions based on a
 8 core set of balanced principles that we would then
 9 apply. It was extremely prescriptive what we were and
 10 weren't able to do, and I didn't think that was helpful.
 11 Q. I just want to pick up on something you've said there.
 12 In terms of the guidance that was issued then, was it
 13 your duty to interpret that guidance?
 14 A. Yes, but we did that as a collective so there was no
 15 sort of local interpretation but a lot of it didn't
 16 require interpreting because it was so prescriptive so
 17 no class sizes higher than 30, for example. But, yes,
 18 my role was to take the guidance and then fathom how on
 19 earth is this going to work in our environment. So, you
 20 know, air quality monitors that we were asked to put in
 21 to teaching and learning spaces, institutions have
 22 hundreds of teaching and learning spaces and we can put
 23 them in, how are we going to monitor them. So lots of
 24 things that just were not that well thought through that
 25 we then had to think, okay, right, how will we make

135

1 this --- how will we operationalise this in a way that's
 2 sensible for our students, doesn't increase risk for
 3 staff and students but enables people to continue their
 4 studies and achieve what they came to university to
 5 achieve.
 6 Q. Thank you. And connected with your answer at the very
 7 start of your response there, you mentioned the pipeline
 8 to the NHS. Is that related to the difficulties with
 9 the placement-based learning?
 10 A. Yes, absolutely.
 11 Q. Thank you very much. I don't have any further questions
 12 for you, Ms Mitchell, unless you have anything, my Lord?
 13 THE CHAIR: No, I have no questions for you, Ms Mitchell.
 14 Thank you very much for coming and giving your evidence,
 15 appreciate that. Very good, that is all for today and
 16 we're back tomorrow morning at 10 o'clock. Thank you
 17 all.
 18 (2.33 pm)
 19 (The hearing was adjourned to 10.00 am on Friday, 15
 20 November 2024)
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25

136

1 INDEX

2 DR DAVID DUNCAN (called)1

PROFESSOR PENNY MACBETH (called)1

3 PROFESSOR KARL LEYDECKER (called)1

Questions by Ms Van der Westhuizen1

4 SUSAN MITCHELL88

Questions by MS STEWART88

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

peoples (4) 3:24 9:23 20:7 73:21	106:2,10,21 107:12 109:2,4 110:16 111:23 113:14 115:14,20,21 117:7,12	109:1 111:24 preparation (2) 84:9 123:10 prepare (3) 83:9,10 84:7 prepared (2) 66:5 111:8 preparedness (1) 16:23 prescriptive (3) 135:1,9,16 presented (1) 125:25 presenting (1) 130:8 press (1) 14:5 pressure (2) 81:6,22 pressures (7) 48:14 75:12,15,17 76:10,10 78:8 presuming (1) 42:3 presumption (3) 13:20 20:18 62:8 presumptuous (1) 42:2 prethinking (1) 84:1 pretty (8) 4:21 23:8 50:15 56:1 59:1 67:22 80:21 82:2 prevented (1) 113:20 previous (1) 52:21 previously (2) 52:15 81:2 principals (3) 71:20 93:15,16 principles (2) 134:24 135:8 prior (3) 7:24 56:5 130:17 prioritise (2) 39:19 78:6 prioritised (3) 94:7 119:10,14 priority (3) 15:23 40:6 94:13 private (3) 36:10 58:18 59:10 probably (48) 4:19 9:11,21 10:5 17:21,21 18:15,16 21:13 32:4,10 33:9,17 36:18 37:15 40:1,9,16,21 41:24 43:7 46:16,22 49:12,14 53:5 54:19 55:2,22 58:22 60:3,20 62:25 64:5 65:15 68:11 73:3 74:17 78:23 80:2 84:2,12 85:15,24 87:2 121:22 123:8 134:21 problem (8) 23:21 56:20 98:2,7 119:2,3,10,22 problematic (1) 70:2 problems (3) 22:15,17,23 process (10) 8:12 35:7 44:1,10,22 48:24 49:5 52:13 116:7 120:2 produce (2) 30:4 39:12 producing (1) 29:24 productive (1) 37:23 productivity (1) 40:5 profession (3) 107:22 108:2 110:24 professional (7) 44:13 50:6 51:21 75:20 89:2 90:8 124:5 professionally (1) 86:5 professionals (10) 37:21 98:17,20,20 105:22 107:14 116:21,23 118:7,11 professions (4) 90:14 91:8 99:15,15 professor (9) 1:9,11,17,18 2:4,4,7,9,11 4:1,2,25 5:1 8:10,11 10:14,15 11:25 12:16 14:2,14,16 16:20 17:10,11,12 19:15 21:4,21 23:10,11 25:14 27:12,18 28:5,11 31:2 32:22,23 34:19 35:19,21 38:4,13 39:7 42:1,5 43:12 44:3,4,5 46:22 49:25 51:18,19 54:4,4 55:25 56:1 58:2,3 59:19 60:2 61:25 62:1,3 63:3,6 68:1 69:6,17,18,19 74:12 75:2,3 77:11,12,21 78:23 79:21,23 80:20,21 81:8 84:16,17 85:12,13 137:2,3 profile (1) 129:10 profound (1) 85:18 programme (15) 91:22,22 94:9 95:2,3,4 96:3,5 97:25 102:25 126:24,24 128:2,20,23 programmes (11) 5:12	48:20,24 50:2 89:18 94:23,25 98:24 104:4 106:17 128:9 programs (1) 128:13 progress (1) 40:7 progressing (1) 39:3 progression (4) 39:4 40:9 77:14 97:7 progressions (1) 48:23 projects (2) 13:8 24:21 prominent (1) 60:3 prominently (1) 98:9 promotion (2) 73:21 78:2 proper (1) 108:21 proportionate (1) 47:14 propose (1) 6:9 prospects (1) 73:21 protocols (1) 37:19 protracted (2) 91:24 135:5 proud (1) 124:8 proved (1) 58:25 provide (6) 16:2 24:14 26:25 34:3 106:18 120:10 provided (2) 2:15 16:11 17:16 24:12 26:7,22 39:22,23 88:11 95:25 99:24,25 100:1 108:18,20 111:20 116:4,14 118:19 119:16 120:10 123:20 provider (5) 89:11 90:7,8,9 98:19 providers (1) 5:5 providing (9) 25:6,7 40:2 58:11 62:4 80:6 97:16 122:1,3 provision (1) 55:23 proxies (2) 32:9 51:7 proximities (1) 58:24 proximity (1) 32:1 psychology (2) 36:25 37:2 pub (1) 67:2 public (10) 9:13 10:21 20:19 60:4,10 83:3,17 85:23 108:22 132:4 publicly (1) 36:5 published (1) 12:3 pubs (2) 60:11 67:4 pull (1) 126:21 pump (1) 78:10 punitive (1) 64:5 purchase (2) 15:6 23:24 purposes (1) 2:22 push (2) 126:22 133:23 pushback (1) 63:25 putting (3) 17:22 65:2,4	131:8,14,17,19 132:24 135:11 136:6,11 qatar (1) 5:7 quarters (3) 95:7 97:6 100:23 qualifications (2) 89:25 95:19 qualified (2) 109:7 113:5 38:12 122:5 qualifying (1) 114:12 quality (4) 19:13 20:24 40:3 135:20 quarter (3) 5:9,9 43:15 quarterly (1) 71:21 question (4) 20:4 27:11 38:12 122:5 questions (10) 1:14,19 87:6,8 88:3,6 136:11,13 137:3,4 quick (2) 27:11 82:25 quickly (28) 10:2 11:4,4,8,13,16 14:7,10 17:13 18:22 21:9,23 22:10,19,20 23:8,23 24:9 25:20 27:19 31:12,17 48:18 72:7 99:18 100:17,25 103:9 quiet (2) 18:17 119:9 quite (67) 8:23 9:1,6 11:5 12:7 14:7 16:19 18:2 22:10,18,20 23:6,15,15,23 24:9 25:20 27:9,13 28:5,23 30:9 31:4,12,17,19 32:7 33:8 37:3 41:14 45:16 47:11 52:1 56:15,25 63:23 64:4 65:21 68:9 69:3 71:9 73:3,24 82:1 86:20 95:23 97:2 99:18 101:25 105:22 113:17 114:20 118:9,16 119:20 120:1,2,4 121:3 123:17 127:19 128:22 132:11,13 133:22,22 134:12 quotation (1) 61:2 quote (1) 100:7	75:16,21,22 77:1,3 78:9,14,16 79:23 81:7 82:1,6 84:22 85:10,11,21 86:16,24,24 96:4 101:24 103:18 105:15 119:12,14 123:9,24 126:13 133:18 134:8,14 realm (3) 29:18,18 53:16 realtime (1) 115:7 reason (3) 37:25 56:14 116:9 reasonably (4) 7:4 19:1 98:8 132:21 reasons (5) 32:6 33:3 38:6 102:1 105:25 reassurance (2) 46:8 97:17 reasure (1) 52:23 reassuring (1) 103:16 reboot (1) 124:20 recall (1) 122:6 received (4) 7:25 19:2,3 37:8 receivers (1) 81:23 receiving (1) 11:23 recent (1) 90:2 receptive (2) 10:7 38:8 recipients (1) 37:10 recite (1) 95:15 recollect (1) 110:19 reconcive (1) 39:13 reconnecting (1) 125:18 reconstructed (1) 104:19 record (2) 19:19 77:1 recovering (2) 65:16 73:4 recovery (1) 106:1 recreated (1) 108:15 recruit (7) 36:22 69:14 106:15,17,18,25 116:6 recruited (1) 109:22 recruitment (3) 70:16,17 72:22 redeployed (7) 107:19,23 109:5 111:6,10,15 112:7 redemption (4) 107:13,15,25 116:20 reduce (3) 67:2 119:22,24 reduced (2) 36:1 117:19 redundancy (1) 98:2 redundant (2) 93:11 97:18 ref (2) 42:12 43:2 refer (1) 46:23 reference (4) 2:18,19 88:15 110:13 references (1) 134:20 referring (1) 10:11 refined (1) 18:4 reflecting (3) 38:20 123:10 124:9 reflective (1) 59:23 reframing (1) 103:6 regard (2) 49:24 97:7 regarding (1) 100:9 regardless (1) 118:21 regime (2) 40:22 41:15 regimes (3) 58:23 59:21 60:1 region (1) 130:10 register (1) 55:9 registered (2) 55:13 115:5 regular (1) 112:4 regulate (1) 108:4 regulated (3) 37:18 70:24 101:17 regulation (2) 65:19,20 regulations (3) 51:17 70:22 85:25 regulator (2) 108:3 114:7 regulatory (3) 40:22 44:13 101:2 rehearse (1) 30:7 reinforce (2) 43:1 85:5 reiterate (1) 52:7 reiterated (1) 64:8 related (3) 6:12 43:9 136:8 relates (1) 112:22 relating (2) 6:10 98:19 relation (3) 6:17,22 7:2,21 8:4 12:20 17:8 22:25 27:14,16 30:4 32:13 34:17	35:17 46:5 47:24 61:9 62:24 69:4 74:1,6 77:9 78:3,12 79:19 85:20 88:25 94:14 98:10 124:12 128:3 129:1 relations (1) 56:14 relationship (3) 95:13,22 96:9 relatively (1) 20:14 relayed (2) 131:25 133:1 released (1) 132:4 relevance (2) 83:11 132:5 relevant (1) 7:13,17,25 8:7 17:9 28:3 35:17 44:2 53:24 61:22 69:15 84:10 reliant (1) 39:2 relief (1) 67:24 relocation (1) 117:19 relook (1) 26:7 reluctance (3) 68:18,21,21 reluctant (1) 68:10 receiving (2) 49:16 121:14 remain (3) 58:13 59:5 127:18 remained (3) 68:19 114:8 127:11 remarkably (1) 10:2 remember (8) 7:15 9:21 11:10 33:10 40:12 65:22 83:1 110:3 remembering (1) 11:12 remote (6) 6:11 16:18,25 17:6 19:10 129:21 remotely (3) 38:16 59:11 129:19 remoteonline (1) 16:22 renal (1) 58:18 rentals (1) 59:10 reopen (1) 109:12 reopened (1) 108:10 reopening (5) 6:19 61:3 63:5 96:6 119:10 reopenings (1) 61:5 repeat (2) 32:14 49:25 repeated (2) 36:1 117:19 repeatedly (1) 132:2 replicated (1) 20:22 report (1) 130:11 reported (1) 77:24 reports (1) 22:10 representatives (2) 1:6 71:25 represented (1) 74:22 republic (1) 3:24 reputation (2) 4:17 72:17 request (3) 2:16 88:12 108:11 requests (2) 40:13 130:3 require (2) 99:2 135:16 required (6) 22:8 51:16 67:3 82:13 115:15 121:9 requirement (7) 28:21 35:15,24 38:1,2 61:19 117:18 requirements (6) 27:2 35:23 51:25 60:9 124:1 127:23 requires (1) 128:23 requiring (1) 28:2 research (65) 3:18 5:14,14 6:14 8:24,25 9:3,4 13:8,10 31:8 35:12,17 36:1,18,19 37:8,9,10,23 38:10,25 39:3,10,12,13 40:4,9,17,23 41:2,6,11 45:16,19,23 42:3,11,13 73:2,3,23 75:19 77:9,16 78:4,7 79:11,18,25 124:14,15,16,20,21 129:1,2,4,8,9,10,11,12 researchers (3) 39:2,5 42:8 reshaped (1) 103:7 residence (10) 5:21 15:24 54:13 56:3 58:22 59:5 60:3 120:17 122:7,12 residences (9) 8:22 12:24 13:7 15:18 25:8 29:3 47:21 54:12 56:16 residents (1) 59:10
-------------------------------------	--	---	--	---	--	---

y (1) 47:5	233 (1) 136:18
year (34) 3:13 17:25 24:14	2365 (1) 4:5
32:6,14,17 41:4 43:10	24 (2) 66:19 130:11
45:7,10,12 54:13 67:15	25 (1) 36:2
69:19 74:8 76:11	250 (1) 41:3
102:24,25 109:19,21	2765 (1) 4:6
110:9,18 111:2,5,23	
112:15 113:1,9,10,13	<u>3</u>
114:16,18,24 115:3	
years (13) 32:19 48:22,23	3 (9) 62:7 109:22 110:23
49:1 55:3,3 58:14 64:23	111:6 112:15,23 113:9,13
77:6 88:22 90:25 106:20	114:16
114:14	30 (4) 4:20 36:2 134:20
yet (1) 66:23	135:17
youll (3) 22:13 25:17 43:7	345 (1) 94:21
young (2) 40:11 79:7	35 (1) 4:6
younger (3) 60:18 66:18	3500 (1) 8:21
78:13	3d (2) 99:4 102:9
youre (10) 7:15 28:9 43:19	3h (1) 94:17
52:16 79:24 83:22 108:1	
112:10 128:21 131:14	<u>4</u>
youve (8) 113:10 120:13	
121:9 125:2 129:16 131:23	4 (7) 62:7 112:21,23 113:1
134:20 135:11	114:18,24 115:3
<u>Z</u>	40 (2) 3:2 41:5
	40000 (1) 3:13
zero (2) 62:16 121:16	41 (1) 3:2
zoom (4) 15:12 21:11	42 (1) 55:10
25:15,20	44 (1) 77:21
	47 (1) 77:23
<u>0</u>	<u>5</u>
02 (1) 2:24	5 (4) 56:22 61:18 64:19
03 (1) 2:25	102:9
04 (1) 2:25	5000 (1) 5:6
	54 (1) 55:8
<u>1</u>	<u>6</u>
1 (7) 3:13,21 110:14	6 (1) 99:5
137:2,2,3,3	600 (1) 54:15
10 (5) 2:25 22:4 109:14	60000 (1) 101:14
110:8 136:16	
1000 (1) 136:19	<u>7</u>
1059 (1) 43:16	
10year (1) 56:22	7 (1) 115:15
11 (2) 3:1 43:15	70 (2) 5:10 82:10
1116 (1) 43:18	
12 (5) 98:22,24 110:14	<u>8</u>
116:4,5	
1200 (1) 54:13	8 (11) 2:16 88:12 91:1
1218 (1) 87:19	94:5,16 100:7 104:9 105:2
13 (1) 10:16	107:18 120:14,18
130 (1) 87:17	800 (1) 73:15
14 (2) 1:1 55:12	88 (2) 137:4,4
1451 (1) 3:12	
1495 (1) 5:2	<u>9</u>
15 (3) 90:4 94:17 136:19	
15000 (1) 5:3	9 (1) 21:19
16 (2) 10:18 14:17	91 (1) 90:1
17 (1) 14:17	
18 (2) 60:18 66:19	
19 (1) 3:1	
1945 (1) 4:3	
<u>2</u>	
2 (11) 109:19,21 110:9,18	
111:2,5,23 112:23	
113:10,13 114:16	
20 (5) 3:1 4:7 22:4 55:15	
90:6	
200 (2) 41:3 94:21	
20000 (1) 5:3	
2019 (1) 2:14	
2020 (15) 2:10 10:16 47:21	
55:8 57:4 63:7 71:8 75:14	
76:11 77:21 92:12 112:17	
119:4,5 133:25	
20202021 (1) 74:8	
2021 (7) 36:6 62:13 63:8	
64:20 71:8 116:3,15	
2022 (2) 62:15 76:12	
2024 (2) 1:1 136:20	
22 (3) 36:16 90:5 130:10	
22yearold (1) 60:18	
23000 (1) 89:20	